

BUILDING WEALTH IN CHANGING TIMES



The Solari Report

SEPTEMBER 22, 2011

Zero Point Energy
with Adam Trombly

A large, intricate, blue, crystalline or fractal-like structure centered on a black background. The structure is composed of many fine, radiating lines and smaller circular patterns, creating a complex, star-like or snowflake-like appearance. The overall effect is one of depth and complexity, suggesting a microscopic or quantum-level view of a material.



Zero Point Energy

September 22, 2011

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Good evening. Welcome to the Solari Report. Today is Thursday, September 22nd, 2011. I'm Catherine Austin Fitts and I'm delighted you could join us this evening. Tonight back by very popular choice, Adam Trombly, to talk about zero-point energy.

Adam was just on in August and we did a wonderful show on entrainment technology, subliminal programming, and financial applications thereof. And if you haven't heard it, I really encourage you to listen to that one as well.

I'm thrilled that he's back because Adam is somebody who's spent a lifetime researching and exploring real solutions including energy technology. He's not just a physicist and a scientist but an inventor in his own rights. So, without further adieu, let me introduce him. Adam, are you with us?

ADAM TROMBLY: I am.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: All right. Well, I'm going to ask you this question again because I've been reading and reading and reading, because I just warn you Adam, I'm way out of my depth here. What in the world is "zero-point energy?"

ADAM TROMBLY: This is the \$64,000 question isn't it? It is really interesting to me because it's been something that's been topical in certain areas of physics for nearly a century now. And the zero-point fluctuation vacuum energy is basically nomenclature that's applied to describe the energy that exists outside of the normal electromagnetic thermal spectrum.

In other words, below zero degrees kelvin, is there still something that causes — is there still a fluctuation? Is there still a density perturbation



that exists in the vacuum energy? And Heisenberg speculated that there were these fluctuations that existed. And Tesla, without using the same nomenclature, the same language, he called it “cosmic energy.”

He basically tapped into it and demonstrated which could be reduced to practice. In other words, that he could actually derive electrical power from the vacuum field. This was done actually before the end of the 1800s. So, this is a 19th century discovery, well before Heisenberg actually.

Back in the day when Tesla actually came into this discovery, he basically was confronted with a community of science, which was trying to redefine itself. In the 19th century there had been an embrace of ether and all particles being kind of precipitants or condensates of ether.

And then there were certain experiments carried out that seemed to refute the existence of ether by two scientists named Michaelson and Morley. And as Einstein said at Leipzig University before he got out of Europe and before Hitler had taken it over all together. He said, “It isn't that we're saying that there is no ether, it's just that the characteristics of the ether are not what we had informally presumed.”

And so the zero-point vacuum fluctuation is this energy which, was described in 1962 by the dean of physics at Princeton University — a wonderful man named John Archibald Wheeler, who I was privileged to know, and conversed with several times at length about this phenomenon — and anybody who's familiar with my work knows that I frequently quote this paper. He said that, “If you took the Planck wave length, which is an extremely small wave of energy, electromagnetic energy which is 10 to the minus 33rd power, centimeters.”

So, this is extremely tiny wave, and if you made that the limitation on the size of the fluctuation that pervades all time and space, that in fact, the mass energy equivalent of the zero point field is 10 to the 93rd power, grams per cubic centimeters.

So, he was basically saying that the potential energy pervading all space,



every arbitrarily chosen cubic centimeter of space, is 10 to the 93rd power grams. Well, this is like the amount of energy that we ascribe to our known universe. Okay? Pervading every cubic centimeter.

And at one conference I was at many years ago, a wonderful Japanese physicist, I believe his name was _____, said it basically means that this is a whole as a nation in a certain sense.

This is not nearly as solid and as real as we presume it to be.

And I don't mean this as any kind of sarcastic comment or anything like that. What I'm basically saying is that this field which is all pervading, this field which is not sourced by mass and this is another big fork in this description.

Because there are scientists who say that the zero-point back and forth fluctuation energy is actually the byproduct of mass. And basically, since Tesla first described the cosmic vacuum, and since we have been working with this for so very long, there's a whole other school in the physics community that says that, "No, in fact, mass itself is the condensate form, or is it precipitate of this vacuum energy.

And that every atom and molecule in this moment that comprises you and I and everything we see and everything we don't see, for that matter, is actually being the dynamic modification of this field. It only exists as a dynamic modification in this field. It has what we call temporal integrity. It exists in time and therefore we all seem to exist in it, and we perceive it, and we kind of ignore it, quite frankly.

I mean it's there but it's like, who cares if it's there if you can't make use of it. And I remember in the early '70s I was in a seminar and the topic of the seminar was zero-point vacuum fluctuation energy. And I brought up to this group of 12 or 13 people who were in the room. Why is it that we presume we cannot tap into it and use this as a source of energy?

“This is like the amount of energy that we ascribe to our known universe. Okay? Pervading every cubic centimeter.”



You would've thought that I just made a very lewd gesture of some sort. It was like the energy in the room shifted so intensely, and I was taken aside on a break during that seminar, which was a graduate seminar. I was taken aside by one of the professors and he told me that if I ever hoped to see tenure that I would never ask that question again.

And I said then why is that? And he said because that would be a violation of the second law of thermal dynamics. Basically, you can never — you know, it would be a violation of conservation of energy to suggest that you can get more energy out of the vacuum field than you put into it.

And I said, well but you have the atmosphere — I used the atmospheric analogy — and in the clear blue sky two weather fronts can front with one another. One's a high pressure front; one's a low pressure front and they not only have a big thermal differential but they have an electromagnetic one and an electrodynamic differential in terms of charge concentration.

And so one hour or two hours ago, we had a perfectly clear sky and now we've got a one mile wide tornado. And that tornado is being the dynamic modification of the atmosphere in which it appears. It's constituent elements are comprised primarily of the atmosphere in which it appears.

And the same thing is true of every electron, every boson, and every particle in existence. But we are learning more and more about this phenomenon. We are learning more and more about “bosonic-composites.” We're learning more and more about what we can precipitate from the field.

And as a matter of fact, this is an extremely important thing for people to understand, and yet we have not been given the educational tools. Because we have been systematically under-educated when it comes to this subject and several others, which is a curious thing indeed.

And I have been working in this field for so very long now, and I have



had so many conversations in so many different venues across this planet. And I know so many very credible people, in terms of their own scientific reputations, etc., that in private conversation are willing to talk about this, but are unwilling, because of a lack of courage, more than a lack of integrity.

I think sometimes it's just scary for people because they don't want to take a controversial stand. I've been very blessed in my life to be in an _____ scholar and beyond that to have private funding for decades now. I'm very grateful for that.

And so, I've been able to be outspoken about this and not have to worry about losing my job tomorrow, which most people do have to worry about, quite frankly. So, I don't have to be concerned about that. And any shenanigans of people trying to discredit me and all of those things, which there are certain people who have been just doing that for years now.

It doesn't really bother me at all because I know that eventually we need to embrace this. We need to understand it. We are all pervaded by this field that the reason why when your Aunt Barbara is thinking of calling you, or your sister or your brother or your lover or your friend, is thinking of calling you, and you suddenly see their face in your head, and then the phone rings and you go, "Well, I was just thinking of you." We've all had this happen.

This is because there isn't really anything separating the person who is going to call us, and who then does call us. The person who is thinking of us, okay there is nothing really separating us. This space between us is not empty space. It is not a vacuum, it is pervaded by this sea of energy.

We are completely, imminently and always connected by this sea of energy. And one of the most exciting areas of physics which is proving this more and more every month, as I go through my American Physical Society of literature.

For example, the Physical Review letters, every single week actually,



there's almost something new about entanglement. New experiments showing greater distances, more and more macro sites systems that are showing entanglement. We all know there's entanglement because we all experience it.

But we haven't been empowered to understand that this is because there is no such thing as disconnection from the field in which we appear. There is no such thing as separation from this. And that there's ultimately no such thing as a singularity that lasts for eternity.

And so, this has been something that since Nikola Tesla, we have actually had technological reductions to practice that demonstrate this phenomenon. But even before Nikola Tesla, it can help us understand what is normally thought of as magic or what is normally thought of as, you know, "How did you dance on the water?"

Okay? I mean, how did these things happen? And it didn't just happen with Jesus. Healing has happened. All kinds of wonderful, spontaneous, seemingly impossible things have happened. My life is full of miracles because I have understood for a really long time, just through this blessing.

I have understood for a very long time that I am not a separate being from this field. And then I've also been blessed to understand that I'm not the source of it, so I haven't suffered the megalomaniac phenomenon that some of my friends actually have.

But this is the thing, the American people and the people of this planet deserve to know that the zero-point vacuum fluctuation field, the quantum ether, whatever nomenclature people want to ascribe to it, exists. If it didn't exist, there wouldn't be entanglement between objects at great distances.

You have an electron that communicates with another electron at a great distance, instantaneously, and way transcends the speed of light. "Superluminal" is what we call it.



C. AUSTIN FITTS: I'm always asking you about that experiment when that was proved. You heard the story, I was driving down the George Washington Parkway listening to the "Dancing Wu Li Masters," and they described that experiment. And I had to stop the car, it was late at night, get out, and I was walking up and down the side of the road screaming, "I knew it, I knew it!" That was a life changing moment.

ADAM TROMBLY: Sure.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Could you just take a second and describe that? That experiment more carefully because it really is....

ADAM TROMBLY: Honestly, Catherine at this point to describe one experiment would be almost disingenuous of me because there have been hundreds and hundreds of experiments. I mean, literally every week when I get back in school to do letters, I go through, and I'm really serious, there's a new proof of entanglement and the systems are larger and larger. Not only that but we are engineering systems that we can communicate instantaneously.

"At this point to describe one experiment would be almost disingenuous of me because there have been hundreds and hundreds of experiments."

One of my colleagues from Germany basically communicated from Zurich to Australia instantaneously, by a kind of engineering entanglement, and communicated data this way. Dr. Mueller is his name. And we so many things that are happening, that we'll completely blow the old economy away.

I mean the old energy economy, the old banking system, the old economic stratifications. We have so many things that are happening in science right now, that if we could not have this be suppressed, we could emerge from all deficits.

Imagine an economy that doesn't depend on fossil fuel and nuclear? Which of course, thanks be to God, Siemens announces this wonderful announcement that you were just talking about. About how they're not



going to never build another power plant.

Now, I've been in conversation with Siemens, with different individuals at Siemens, since November 1980 when I first lectured in Hanover at the First International Conference on Tachyon Field Energy. And so, I know Siemens has had active interest in zero-point.

And I'm not saying I know everything that they've been doing behind the scenes, but I can tell you that the U.S. ambassador from Germany came to The Aspen Institute a couple years ago, and in the Environment Forum announced that they were going to be free of all fossil fuels, and all non-sustainable, all non-renewable. They were going to be free of all of that by 2030. And it was an astonishing statement because we had the president of Shell Oil and Amy Lovetts, who has been working with Shell Oil for over 30 years, as one of his primary patrons.

And these are people, who I'm not questioning their sincerity. I'm not questioning even that they believed what they were saying, necessarily. Because they were saying that by 2030, that we would maybe have between, 35% and 45% non-renewable. In other words, we would be on alternative energy 35% to 45%, depending on which one of those speakers were speaking.

And the German ambassador, who, by the way, was scheduled to speak, says from up on the stage, "No, by 2030 we're going to be completely free of it. Because Germany knows that this is real. Germany knows it's not just about solar, it's not just about wind. God bless solar and God bless wind energy."

We need them. We, at the Institute for Advanced Studies utilize them. We have a couple of really good installations. Our new installation in Tucson is really quite exciting. Even though it's just a solar energy installation. It is something that can be done.

It takes up a lot of space, it costs a lot of money, and we know for a fact that when zero-point vacuum fluctuation-based devices go into



production, that it will cost a lot less than solar. It will cost a lot less than wind. It won't kill birds, it won't be subject to fluctuations of climate. It won't be subject to whether the clouds are happening that day or that month. In the monsoons for example.

It will give us the kind of independence that it is hard for us to even imagine. And I'm not talking about flying around in our zero-point personal flying saucers, necessarily. The former head of the Office of Technology and Assessment, Hazel Henderson, said that it just freaked her out to think people could barely drive on the ground, what going to happen when they get those?

And God bless her because she also apologized in the same conversation for having intentionally back-filed, but not thrown away, every technology with my name on it when she was head of the OTA. Because she said the concept of zero-point freaked her out. And this is a very common phenomenon.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: I just want to underscore one thing and for the laymen among us. In a sense, there's no controversy about zero point energy and its existence. The controversy is in the practical application, or the accessing of it for practical applications. And that's where we've seen since — I mean, I posted the “Secret Life of Nikola Tesla” as our movie in “Let's Go to The Movies,” because it's a great example of the story of the effort to suppress and stop people who are trying to figure this out. And we've had a century of people being stopped and suppressed and bought off.

ADAM TROMBLY: Including, and especially, Nikola Tesla. I mean here's Nikola Tesla in the 1880s who basically, between his work - well let's just take the work in Colorado Springs where he completed the first earth amplifier. Okay? Now, when you apply for patents, and you know sometimes, people call these Tesla coils. And they try to duplicate his results without really knowing or taking into account that he was creating a kind of low frequency inductive receiver to the earth's always fluctuating magnetic shield.



It's a dynamic, it's not a static. Nikola Tesla was the first person to really get that fact that the earth's magnetic shield is dynamic and not static. So, he tapped into that dynamic through the earth's amplifier and was able to generate mega watts of power without any fuel.

And then he was able to transmit that electrical power without any wires whatsoever. He was able to transmit that electrical power from point A to point B without any wires. Now, this came on the heels of his discovery and invention of the AC dynamo, the alternating current dynamo, where he discovered that if he created a wave-like transmission of electrical power instead of this DC blockhead kind of approach that Thomas Edison used, which we had something called _____ if you use that, which just means that the resistance is the square of the current basically.

And so, it's kind of like the resistance in DC is astronomical. Things get very hot and electrical power is dissipated as heat — it doesn't want to be transmitted over distance. Well, Tesla realized that he could kind of flip the electrons over the wire and transmit them and therefore they used his technology at Niagara Falls, much to Thomas Edison's chagrin. And of course that was the beginning of a profound revolution.

Unfortunately, the people who were running the new fossil fuel economy, JP Morgan, Rockefeller, and the hypocrite — I shouldn't call him a hypocrite exactly, he was worse than that in some ways, because he really betrayed his friend, Nikola Tesla — George Westinghouse. Basically these men decided that we, as a world, as a planet, would not have access to Nikola Tesla's real technologies which didn't require their fossil fuels.

They decided instead that they would use the AC dynamo and overwhelm him, and they didn't pay him his royalties on Niagara Falls. Westinghouse begged him for ± not a forgiveness of the loan, but a delay in the payback of the first \$2.3 million, that he was owed. The story goes that Tesla signed over the debt to Westinghouse. I have a very clear understanding that this is not accurate information. Basically, what Tesla said is, “I will grant you this delay but you must pay me ultimately.”



And so, he literally would've been as rich as any of them, or richer than any of them, from Niagara Falls. Which was a scary proposition for these robber barons who basically had seized control of our economy along with the Federal Reserve.

All of this happened at the same time is the thing that people really don't get historically sometimes. But I wanted to just say something else about what happened with Tesla. And that is that he was marginalized, he was anathematized.

In 1904, Tesla was so popular in New York; his articles were appearing on the front page of the New York Times. In 1904 he wrote a beautiful article talking about a world wide global network of information transfer. Of the transfer of pictures, the communication of music worldwide, and the communication of power worldwide. Electromagnetic power — electricity. He was talking about this in the New York Times. It was hugely well received. He talked about this being pollution-free and clean energy. He said, “Otherwise, we’re going to poison the air and the water of our beloved planet earth.”

“In 1904, Tesla was so popular in New York; his articles were appearing on the front page of the New York Times.”

And, which of course, is what we've done. And then, not even a year later, the New York Times wrote an article called, “Tesla's Follies.” And this was about a big earth amplifier tower that he was building with “the help” because he had been cut off from the money that he actually should've been earning as income from Niagara Falls and other projects. He was cut off.

And so, JP Morgan said I'll give a quarter million dollars to do this project. Well, not even \$108,000 into the project, he cut him off. But even with that amount of money — the buying power as you know, and your listeners all know, of the dollar was astronomically higher than it is today — he was able to build a very beautiful tower that was also equally underground as it was above ground.



He was able to create a resident and inductive domain, tapping into the earth's magnetic field. And then when he was disenfranchised by Morgan, he actually got a mortgage believing that people would hear him, believe that people would understand how important this is. And, in point of fact, when he got this mortgage, he ultimately was cut off even further and isolated even further and he went into foreclosure. And I read the trial transcripts and it's heartbreaking. This was all of our future that Nikola Tesla represented.

Nikola Tesla represented the future that was so much more benign than what we live in. But rather than just cry over that spilled milk, we will only have a future at this point — because the damage that's been done is so profound — if there is a popular movement in this country, and worldwide, where the people of this planet throw off the shackles of the old economy, and say to all of our government simultaneously. The first sign of this is a very intelligent decision of the government of Germany to no longer pursue nuclear.

And of course a very unintelligent and uninformed decision of the Obama administration, which snuck in these new power plants, which the Bush administration and Dick Cheney and George Bush couldn't even get in when they were in power. I mean these guys couldn't even get a license for a new plant. And Obama, because he put a big grin on it, and he was Barack Obama, the master of change — of course which was we all know now was hyperbole — basically got these new power plants licensed.

And they're ignoring the fact, and this is a little jump from Nikola Tesla, but I have to say something about Fukushima because I studied so many hundreds of hours on this project since March — especially since number three blew up which was mixed oxide reactor.

That the disinformation campaign, and the subliminals and entrainment that people are being subjected to. I mean, I have people who I've known — one former engineer from John Hopkins, for example, out of Maryland. Because I'm saying this is actually a global nuclear catastrophe, has completely disassociated himself from me.



C. AUSTIN FITTS: The media blackout on Fukushima is quite astonishing.

ADAM TROMBLY: It's amazing to me because the head of the department of radiological studies at the University of Tokyo went, in July, before the Japanese Parliament, and said, "Look, this is already 37 times worse than Hiroshima and Nagasaki combined." This is already at least that much but of course Hiroshima and Nagasaki were nothing compared to this. They really weren't. There are ten of millions of Japanese who will undoubtedly die.

I know because I had cancer from being exposed to radioisotopes from the Rocky Flats nuclear disaster in May of 1969, where eight kilos of plutonium combusted and went into the air and were deposited over Broomfield, because the winds at that time blew the plume up to 12,800 feet and it blew the plume over towards Denver, over Broomfield, etc.

And basically we did soil samples of the dairy farms. Some friends and I, who were in the health physics area, and I was in astrophysics, and they sort of invited me to go and do soil samples. And we were idiots — we didn't have masks or anything, we just bought little trowels and baggies from Ace Hardware.

We got soil samples only to discover, upon analysis, that there were 10 to 80 thousand times permissible levels of plutonium and americium, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. That we, on that dusty, windy day, in this cow pasture, which was one of the largest cow pastures feeding Walt Hardy's Dairy in Boulder. So, people are drinking this stuff everyday.

This is very important for people to understand. In this kind of nuclear accident, people don't just drop dead, usually. Unless they're exposed to extreme amounts of radiation, and then they will just drop dead. There's been a few of those at Fukushima.

But what happens is that people will die over time. I mean, like my cancer didn't show up for nine years. Okay? And then it took me over 20 years to get rid of it. And thanks to God that I did get rid of it, but it's only because I knew the best oncologist in the world. Because I traveled



so much and I lecture all over the world and I just have amazing resources thanks to this wonderful process that I'm involved in.

So what I want to tell people is that we must demand of the Obama administration that we seize all activity in the nuclear industry. Nuclear is not safe. It is not safe now and it will not be safe in the future. There are some forms that are safer than the older forms, there's no doubt about that, and it would be disingenuous of me to represent otherwise. But we don't need it, we haven't ever needed nuclear. We haven't really ever needed fossil fuels.

Nikola Tesla drove a Model T. I actually saw a film in Montecito, California. This wonderful woman in Montecito invited me to her family's home, which was from my perspective, a kind of palatial turn of the century estate. And the old horse barns had been converted into garages, etc.

And under a tarp in one of the garages was one of the two Westinghouse Tesla cars that ran without any fuel whatsoever. She had this great movie of her as a young woman in her beautiful lace dress, and her little parasol, and the whole thing, riding with Nikola Tesla in this car. Which again, required no fuel whatsoever and ran like a top.

And the second of these cars was just discovered in a warehouse in Detroit about a year and a half ago. And I don't know what happened to it, but I know that her car disappeared somehow over some conflict over her estate and has not been seen since.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well, here's the thing I want to just want to spend a little time on, because both you and I have personally lived through a process where we've seen any kind of innovator go through just a hellacious time. Whether it's people trying to buy you off, or trying to smear you, or destroy your ability to innovate.

And until you've gone through it and sort of seen it up close, it's hard to fathom not only how strict the matrix can be, but how many people it can destroy.



ADAM TROMBLY: Yes, absolutely.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: And if you look at the amount of time and energy that's needed for development — let's say we were going to figure how to get zero-point so that it could be practically applied in a way that you could integrate into a human infrastructure — that takes a lot of innovators working and communicating in a forum which is open and free.

ADAM TROMBLY: Right. That's exactly right. And Barack Obama was there actually as a Trojan horse to prevent that from happening, quite honestly. Because I know for a fact that Hillary Clinton intended for there to be rapid development and deployment of these technologies.

I mean, she announced it as much at a couple of different occasions and it made me cringe because it was kind of like, I wished she had listened to John Lennon better — I mean, where you play what the people want to hear, and then you play what you need to play, or you want to play.

And yes, we've witnessed this. You've witnessed this. You and I have gotten the bejesus beat out of us on more than one occasion for taking a stand for reality as opposed to hyperbole. And so, in a culture where the lie is ubiquitous. It is the truth that looks like a lie.

It's like somebody says, "You can tap into this vacuum shield and it goes...? Oh, he's full of it! I don't believe there's an all pervading shield. I'm an atheist when it comes to the all pervading field." This is the kind of thing we hear. But you see, every revolution, every revolution in human history is in technology.

I used to think about Galileo a lot: standing on the balcony of his beautiful home, and he has these bishops there. He was a very highly-respected man, not like some nutcase. He was highly-respected and had

“Barack Obama was there actually as a Trojan horse to prevent that from happening, quite honestly. Because I know for a fact that Hillary Clinton intended for there to be rapid development and deployment of these technologies.”



quite a bit of political gravitas. And he has the bishops looking through this very primitive little telescope of his, but even though it was primitive it could resolve well enough to show that moons were orbiting Jupiter.

Well, you see the dogma at the time was that everything orbited the earth. The earth was the center of everything. I call it the ego geocentric universe, or the geo egocentric universe. And it's like mankind was at the center of everything. Hell is below, heaven is above, and we're at the center of everything.

And the fact of the matter is we're actually out beyond the dust tub of the Milky Way galaxy. We can look at the dust tub. You can't see the Milky Way galaxy the way we can see it, and be where we've been told. Even right up until classes being held today at universities around this world, where people are saying that we're positioned in a certain place on the Orion Arm of this galaxy, is nonsense!

Because we can see the dust lay of the galaxy, the dust of the galaxy right across the sky. So, even in these little things, there's just lie after lie after lie that for some reason somebody thinks they're going to benefit by it. Now, why would anybody do such a thing? And basically how much more empowered would we be if we could actually join together?

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well, it's very interesting that if you include all the fraud, the debt has reached a point where there are only two ways out — one is to bring in new technology, and the other is to depopulate. I would prefer not to be depopulated.

ADAM TROMBLY: I love the fact that you're always so positive, but unfortunately we've already created such an unbalance that a certain amount of depopulation is almost inevitable at this point. But it is not necessary for this to be. You've known me long enough now to know that I've been — since the last '70's early '80's — going around saying, “Look at these people who have ceased control of our governments, they are creating a global Auschwitz.”

And I'm not saying this as hyperbole or as melodrama, I mean this.



C. AUSTIN FITTS: John Rappoport and I just filmed a video. He says, “It's just like Auschwitz, but it's like a hotel.”

ADAM TROMBLY: Right. It's like instead of Himmler looking down the chute while the people were getting hit with Zyklon B in the gas chamber, we've got the global banking industry looking down the chute as we all get gassed, as we all get irradiated too death as they pretend it's not happening and they license more nuclear power plants.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Let me get back to zero-point because I really want to finish zero-point. You worked for many years with Bucky Fuller?

ADAM TROMBLY: Yes.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Was he interested in zero-point?

ADAM TROMBLY: Oh very, of course. Yes, he was. And not only that but when he saw a demonstration of a version of the first generator, it was kind of an evolution of the first _____ which included dynamic magnetic dynamic brush. But it's too much technical detail to get into, and he saw it work.

This is a man who never used profanity, except for on the complications where he slipped. But he was in very proper kind of guy, almost obnoxiously proper. I grew up in the south suburbs of Chicago and was not that way at all. So, I was frequently chastised for battering our language. But the fact is that Bucky was very interested. And he said there could be hell to pay. He was a Navy guy. He was a guy who basically had fought in World War I and had been in command of his own little boat.

And came back and found it very difficult to settle back into Harvard again. And basically could not ever settle back into the normal academic world again. And just went off and became highly creative. He had experienced some things that some people would call mystical epiphanies.



He at one point been suicidal because he was broke and he had a young child, and he decided that his family would be better taken care of by his life insurance than they would be by his continued existence. And as he was standing on the shores of Lake Michigan in the Dunes area, he basically had this epiphany.

And in the epiphany he was given this revelation that he was going to make a difference in the world and the last thing in the world that he should do is commit suicide. Having had a good friend of mine commit suicide just yesterday here in Aspen, I can tell you that there's a lot of people that are very depressed right now.

And I know that, but you see, you can collapse and kill yourself or you can remain silent and allow somebody else to kill you. Or you can actually become activated about this. And in terms of Bucky's relationship to zero-point, he didn't — he only went for a short while after this particular demonstration occurred.

In 1987, I was lecturing down in San Diego at a fundraiser for Project Earth, and guy came up to me and said, he was a very good friend of Bucky's, as was Eddie Albert who Bucky also confided in about this. And he said that Bucky had told him that he had seen this demonstration and it was going to change the world. It could change the world, but he was very afraid for the well-being of the young man who had shown him this work.

And this was a guy who had, from my perspective, at the time a lot more money than I did. And so, I thought maybe he would help, but that didn't ever materialize. But that's okay because at least he was a witness. But the thing is Bucky was very enthusiastic about this. Of course he was enthusiastic.

Once you see it work, and Bucky was the kind of guy who didn't go into cognitive distance when he saw it work. I've given demonstrations up until the year 2000, which is the last time I did a physical demonstration. So, it's been 11 years ago now. I was so disenchanted with the response that I stopped. But — and the reason I stopped was



because people were trying to kill me. A very well-known scientist came to see this demonstration, who was there at the State of the World Forum in New York.

Not long before the demonstration, he told me that his benefactors were promising a billion dollars in funding if I could demonstrate that even one watt more was coming out of something. He stood there and acknowledged that this was working, with highly, sophisticated, very expensive scientific instrumentation. He said, “Well where do you think the extra energy comes from?”

He was like a lovely young guy at that point. When I say lovely I mean this in the sense of there's something really beautiful when people see this and for a moment at least open up to it and they become like children. I mean, I wept the first time I saw it work, to tell you the truth.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well, suddenly you realized there's no reason for poverty. There's no reason for scarcity.

ADAM TROMBLY: Yeah, exactly. I had this weird thing where I was both disconcerted and also exhilarated at the same moment. Because I realized that, in point of fact, this is the thing being taught, and people are spending a lot of money sending their kids to Stanford and to Harvard and to Princeton and other places.

Unless they're dragged into, or recognized and brought into Princeton, for example, the Princeton engineering and research group were something like that. Unless they're brought into this group and then they sign these non-disclosures and then they become kind of the property of the United States government and never really speak in public about what they're researching, wherever the phenomenon happens to be, for the most part.

“Not long before the demonstration, he told me that his benefactors were promising a billion dollars in funding if I could demonstrate that even one watt more was coming out of something.”



They may talk a little bit about psychic research or something but they don't really disclose about zero-point. Zero-point is being researched all over the world. I know this because....

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Adam, can we talk a little bit about patent law and some of the other obstacles to innovation in this area?

ADAM TROMBLY: Well, it's not so much patent law. I mean, there are people who are saying its patent law but it isn't so much about patent law. It's actually about the avoidance of the letter of the black and white writing of patent law.

And this has been going on for a long time. For example, I had a dear friend Ed Gray, who had created a motor generator set, a very ingenious motor generator set that produced ten times more electrical power than it used, in terms of actual mechanical energy. He had a motor generator set that took less than 1,000 watts to run and created over 10,000 watts. I've physically interacted with this and several of the other technologies that he had developed.

I was introduced to him by my handler from Naval Intelligence, who was also his handler from Naval Intelligence. I didn't even know, by the way, this guy was a Navy Intelligence officer at the time. And I'm not saying bad things about Naval Intelligence; I am just saying that this man was kind of — well, not a very nice person

Ed had actually received a patent for this. He had written the patent very carefully, his application very carefully. He didn't use words like free energy or anything like this. He just said highly efficient motor generator set that does such and such.

And he was awarded the U.S. patent. And it kind of slipped through the cracks, and then people became aware of some publications where articles were written about Ed. Where it was clearly witnessed by reporters, etc., that this thing was producing more electrical power than it used.



And actually, that it was producing enough so it could run itself and run equipment, like your air conditioner or your television or all the stuff in your house, for example. And the next thing that happened to Ed was he was given a “no-option command.” Basically, he was put in sequestration in Idaho, and he and his family were moved to Idaho.

And basically I was told by his handler, my handler, that I was going to go join him. And I said something kind of profane to him. I said, “You know where you can put that.” And he said you have no idea what you're talking about because we're going to ruin your life. We're going to make your life absolutely miserable.

And I said, “No, if you try...” And they did, believe me. Next thing I knew I had no credit. I couldn't make my payments on my house, I couldn't make payments on my car. I had a lot of money at the time — I had an Olympic size pool, I had a beautiful home in San Rafael, not far from Skywalker Ranch. I was like you were.

You and I both had gone from relative affluence to being crushed at a certain point. But I'm just going to say this, and whatever the belief system of any listener is, I'm going to say this anyway. I sat down and prayed. I didn't make a kind of weepy prayer. I just said “I didn't come to this planet for this BS and I need help right now.”

I don't help tomorrow because I just wrote a rubber check to PG&E for the third time, and the guy who was there to shut off the power to my house, which was rather large and used a lot of power, was kind enough to accept what he knew was a rubber check because he had taken two other ones from me.

In fact, what this Naval Intelligence officer told me was literally what would seem to be true. But I also knew that I am in this field and this field is not just an abstract full of scientific concepts or scientific materialist concept, that it also is the field of spirit that we can be — if we realize that we are sitting in this field infinity, then the infinite presence is infinitely present everywhere.



If you're in your office, if you're in your living room, if you're in your — wherever you are. If you're in jail, wherever you are, this field is present. And it is the source of all — of everything.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Right. We have to stand on and we have to draw the line. The field can't do that for us. We can only attract that which we stand for.

ADAM TROMBLY: That's right. We have to incarnate love. People say that you shouldn't call on Jesus, because Christianity has been so abused, but I love what he said when they asked him: "What should we do when you're gone?" He said, "Love one another as I love you."

And it's been so mistranslated as, "I loved you," or "used to love you." But it's, "...as I love you. In other words, what I demonstrate is my incarnation of this love. You have to do that. There's no vicarious atonement, obviously. He said, "If you love one another as I love you, it can be on earth as it is in heaven."

You see, this is the act of prayer. If you don't love one another as I love you then you're going to create hell. You are going to create hell and you will be in torment.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well, that's important because you know the more powerful our technology, the more important it is that our intention is honorable. There's nothing worse....

ADAM TROMBLY: Well, and this is a huge concern of the Power Elite, of course. Because the Power Elite will say, or the Head of Technology at the center would say, "What are they going to do when zero-point power flying vehicles that we..." She knows that the United States government has things that have been reversed engineered. She knows that the United States government has these remarkable technologies that some people will describe as alien vehicles.

The point here that is so important is that this field is not containable, it is not controllable and that's why it is such a threat. This field is present



everywhere and that's why there's no bounded condition for it. Wherever you are in space, not just on earth, you can tap into this.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: We're kind of talking about an out of control world. I can be fairly suspicious about what's going on, and I would like to know, do the Smart Meter and Smart Panels have anything to do with this? Could they possibly be trying to meter all of this stuff?

ADAM TROMBLY: I think that they would love to be able to find a way. This is why Morgan and the crew basically decided that Tesla should be isolated. Yes, if they could figure out a way to meter it, a way to encrypt the energy so that you had to have an encrypt key in order to power your house and therefore the utility could broadcast this without wires. Then they are going to act like heroes who discovered this. I was already told by one of the guys who "runs this planet" that they know this is real and they are going to allow it to happen someday, but we're going to allow it to happen with the people we choose for this.

So yes, the Smart Meter may very well be a prelude to that. The encrypting of power may be the prelude to that. The private development of this is essential. The implementation for civilian use is absolutely essential. The world that I see, and have seen for decades now, as a possibility because of this, is a world where we can take the wisdom, for example, that the people of Israel have incarnated relative to making the desert blue. But we can extend this without fossil fuels and take the ocean water and desalinate it and reclaim the deserts, which are growing miles every year. The Sahara Desert is just marching across Africa right now. They got a couple years of rain a decade ago and one of the top people in the world told me, there's actually water in Lake Chad again, and I looked at some aerial reconnaissance stuff from NASA, which I have access to, which is actually public domain, and it did not testify well to the accuracy of his statement. Lake Chad, the size of Lake Erie, by 1985 had almost entirely dried up. When NASA showed this, it was

“I can be fairly suspicious about what’s going on, and I would like to know, do the Smart Meter and Smart Panels have anything to do with this? Could they possibly be trying to meter all of this stuff?”



shocking to people. This astounded them. This was in 1985, 26 years ago.

We are in such an environmental crisis. For anybody to be pretending that this isn't happening is criminal. They should be arrested and tried, if we had Eric Holder and the justice department doing their jobs. The fact is that, they're not. So the people who are lying to us all about things that could basically bring us out of deficit. What if you have no fuel? I would add a couple of versus to John Lennon's "Imagine" song. What if there were no fossil fuels? It isn't hard to see. What if there was no nuclear? What if there was no centralized grasp and control of this, but everybody had a little air conditioning sized unit in their backyard and it provides you with power? Somebody could lease you that. It's not like somebody couldn't create a business; somebody could lease you that and you could meter that device.

In 1989, we made a proposal to some of the top people on Wall Street, saying, "At first, let's just convert all of our utilities to using zero-point." The public isn't really educated enough to know how electrical power is generated in the first place. I wasn't saying this as an elitist statement, this is just a fact. So, nobody's even going to begin to know how the power is being generated and you can convert everything over to zero-point, and every plant you build will recapture its entire initial capital investment for construction and the reduction to practice of technology, etc. — and within the first year. That's an astonishing statement!

The amortization schedule says, what? These guys are saying, is this really true? Think about it. What's the biggest capital cost? You have a new coal power plant and there's this entire infrastructure that's behind it. This is incredibly threatening. It's not just the power elite, by the way, who oppose this. Believe it or not, a lot of the opposition to zero-point comes from coal miners and unions, and people in the solar industry who really don't like the idea of zero-point because they are investing all this time, energy and money in some really cool solar technologies, which I believe are very important in the transition in to this new world that we can have.



The opposition, I should be really careful to communicate to your listeners, that this isn't just about the banking industry or the corporate hegemony who are opposing this. This is about people who are on board for alternative energy. Our biggest opposition in my own hometown has come from one of the great gurus of alternative energy. And he's been opposed to anything I say since about 1986. Without naming names, I can say this is a very strong statement that I'm making.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: I saw this with the housing bubble, there are so many people making money and who have expertise within the current model.

At Hamilton, I once asked one of the researchers to research how long it took for new technology to get integrated. And he came back and said, "It takes 50 years, and its taken 50 years since the beginning of time. It always takes 50 years" And I said, "Why is that?" He said, "That's how long it takes everybody to die."

ADAM TROMBLY: Right, exactly. There's a generation for you. Here's the thing, Galileo was convicted of harassing and kept under house arrest until he died. The Catholic Church didn't apologize for that until 1984. That's more than 50 years.

Things are happening much more rapidly now and I think the gentleman you were talking to is much more accurate relative to this moment. But this is over 100 years now. It's over 100 years now since Nikola Tesla physically demonstrated and patented technologies that actually produced power greater than they used, that tapped into this field. It's over 100 years now. So, this is enough. Enough is enough.

In this new movie that's coming out, "Thrive," the byline to the movie is: "What is it going to take?" What is it going to take for the human species to say to the people who we allow to run this planet, who lie to us, who commit fraud? If you had a company that committed fraud in its advertising the way the Obama campaign did the last time; not just Obama — this goes back and back and back; there should be an end to this kind of immunity.



There should be no executive immunity for lying to the public and doing things that are destructive to the planet. I'm not just talking about people in the United States, but wherever we are in the world we must demand accountability. The Russians know that zero-point exists. They have some of the most brilliant zero-point scientists in the world. Extraordinary brilliance, just incredible.

I don't know what the current state of affairs for China is. A representative of the Chinese government approached me and said; at a seminar I was at a couple of years ago, that they wanted to build an industrial park around my work. So the Chinese know that this needs to be done.

Any country that does not actively do this research and move into rapid development and deployment is going to be left in the dust and it's going to be the dust of their own environmental decay.

It's so exciting to me — even now with all the history that people can read online and with all the things that have happened, — the fact is, it's still exciting to me. Every day when I wake up to know that this is true. I worked with Marcel Vogel who was the most productive scientist IBM ever had, and I worked on the first incarnation of the zero-point module, or the resonance generator, with him. Then, for various reasons, because I refused to sign certain non-disclosures which were not from him but from certain government entities, I reduced to practice something that was much simpler with a former colleague of mine, David Farnsworth, who was one of the most brilliant engineers I've ever worked with at that time.

In 1989, we went to the United Nations, and then the United States Senate, and instead of people coming to our demonstration — we had 189 RSVP's from Senator's, Congressmen, staff members, etc., that they were coming to our demonstration — at the last minute, the H.W. Bush Administration had the National Security Council send out a phone tree to invite people to the White House to hear about President Bush's Clean Air Act proposal, which was a joke compared to zero-point! Even this guy, who was one of the head guy's at "Friend's of the



Earth,” came to our demonstration and said, when was the last time the sitting President was willing to talk about the environment?

Sam Levin came, and he asked, so where does the energy come from? And I said, “It comes from the space we’re in right now. It’s free, in terms of, there is no fuel that’s being consumed,” and he just walked away shaking his head. Five people showed up to our demonstration instead of the 189 people who RSVP’d, who were suddenly called to the White House. This is the kind of slight of hand that’s been going on.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Yes, I’ve seen it. It happens so many times.

ADAM TROMBLY: What we were demonstrating was the answer to, and I don’t need the exclusive answer like I’m the only one who knows this; that’s nonsense, nobody owns this. There is not one of us that have the exclusive right to zero-point. That makes it extremely challenging, commercially.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Can you describe some of the other people you think are reputable? Every time I delve into this, I can’t figure out who I can trust, and who I can’t.

ADAM TROMBLY: Earlier we were talking about this, and it’s a very hard thing to do. For example, there is a gentleman I’ve known, Thomas Valone, and he has written some really good stuff about zero-point, not that I agree with everything he writes, but he actually has been involved in this as I have been, for decades now, and he presents some very credible stuff.

There’s another gentleman at the University of Texas, Harold Putoff, who I have really great differences with about some things, but he has been publishing things about this since the late 1980s. For example, he published a paper called “The Zero-Point Vacuum Fluctuation.” We’ve been in conversation for months about that issue, actually.

“There is not one of us that have the exclusive right to zero-point. That makes it extremely challenging, commercially.”



Marcel Vogel was a guy; unfortunately he's no longer with us. Most of the guys that I knew are dead. Tom Valone is still around. He's not so much a guy who reduces to practice, he's a guy who has gone through enough of his own epiphany, his own self-revelation I think, I never stayed in close contact with him. De Palma is gone. I wish I could give you a long list. Nasim, I can never remember his last name, he's in "Thrive." He's quite brilliant and has reduced to practice some real technologies.

There are a couple of other people who I won't name because it would endanger their lives — and I mean that. There are a couple people who I know for a fact can do this and they have been targeted as I have been. I'm not physically demonstrating this technology at this present moment because basically, I've been told in no uncertain terms that this is not going to be countenance and I've been around too long.

There are a lot of people who didn't expect this particular human body to still exist at this point, and they are quite disturbed by this interview, and they are quite disturbed by the movie coming out, and they are quite disturbed by the inevitability of this technology. Because I do know that there is a movement afoot, and I do know that this movement is going to catch. I really feel it in my blood, I feel it in my bones, I feel it in my heart that not just the American people, but the people in the world are going to demand it.

I know people in Germany are demanding it. I know that for a fact. I know the people of Austria are demanding it. In Germany's case, the government is responsive. And in Germany's case, the government happens to be in the best fiscal shape of any house. Unfortunately, they are also involved in bailing out too many fail-cased governments, but that's a whole other issue.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: I had a day this week where one person after another started drowning me with all the negative news, and whenever that happens, you know what I think of? I think of the panel in Switzerland, and I want you to talk about this. You put together a panel on energy technology in a conference that you sponsored last year in Switzerland.



And after the panel, which was one of the most exciting things I've ever seen, we went off to another room with all the different energy engineers and inventors, and we asked, "How do we change everything?" When you saw who was in the room, and the talent, and what was possible at a very low cost in a very decentralized way, it was so exciting. Can you talk about the group that you gathered?

ADAM TROMBLY: Sure. There are two names that I was really forgetting, and one of them is John Bedini, and you can go online and order kits. And a young man who approached me in Austria at a conference I was speaking at years ago, who was working on his doctorate at Birmingham University in England, he said I want to believe in this, etc., and he went online and discovered John Bedini. He discovered a schematic diagram, and he built this battery charger that clearly showed him that he was tapping into the vacuum field. I've always prayed for John Bedini's safety, and Tom Bearden's safety, former Colonel and Air Force Intelligence. He's not young anymore, but he's been on the circuit for a long time and has written some very exciting stuff about this.

The panel that we had included a man who organizes conferences in Europe where people come and discuss Zero-Point technologies; There was Duncan Barker, who is an extraordinary 29 year-old who just got his doctorate. We had a couple of other people there who were trying to do some interesting stuff with hydro-oxy technology. It was a well intended attempt. I spent all of 1986 working with a gentleman who called himself Yul Brown, which was actually a synonym, which had been given to him by an intelligence agency, and we worked on hydro-oxy, which is something that we could touch upon.

What we discussed in that room was basically, how to you introduce this to the public? We need to educate our fellow citizens of this planet because the military-industrial complex, as it exists today, as a parasite on us all, worldwide, is not going to educate us in these technologies. So we have to take it upon ourselves to educate each other. We need huge amounts of funding ultimately. Not me, I don't ever want to be the Bill Gates of zero-point. The field needs money. This field needs to be supported. So we were talking about the issue of support, the issue of



funding. Too often it's people who have a couple of million dollars, and think they are going to be the next Bill Gates, who say, "I'll give you \$100,000 or \$250,000, show me what you can do in your garage?" Excuse me, but you're barking up the wrong tree. I've done that. I've actually built things in the garage with colleagues of mine. It didn't turn out all too well because we were incredibly vulnerable.

This requires huge political support. We talked about setting up a worldwide fund where people can make a contribution, whether it was a non-profit or whether it was not a non-profit, because in different countries there are different laws. In the United States, if you have a non-profit that isn't taxed, then you have no freedom of speech. They have truncated freedom of speech for all non-profits basically, unless you are talking about religion and then that's a different thing.

I don't want to demonize all the government scientists because I know a lot of good ones who really are disconcerted by the fact that they know a lot about this stuff and they can't talk about it. So I don't want to say that everybody who works for the government is bad in any way, shape or form. But, the net result of the attitude of our government is they basically work for hegemony. They work for this power elite. It isn't a conspiracy theory — they are killing a planet! People who say this is conspiracy theory, I don't know what they are drinking? I don't know what drugs they are taking? It doesn't make any sense to me.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: If I can make something socially acceptable then I can keep a lot of people from exploring it or talking about it.

ADAM TROMBLY: Exactly. How do you make this a conversation that is socially acceptable? I think pride is a good step in that direction. From the very beginning of Project Earth it's one of the things that we used to say was that this is a conversation that needs to involve more and more people. It's not a conversation about, "Oh, woe is me! Look what's happening to the earth." It's a conversation of what would the earth look like if we actually implemented an intelligent technology? What would the earth look like? There are a lot of people in the Pentagon and in government who know damn well that we have to do something like



this. What are we going to do? Continue to borrow money from governments that are choking the planet with their pollution from coal fire power plants like China that's putting up a new coal fire power plant every couple of weeks?

Although, I have to say about China, and this is a positive thing, they are being forced by their own people to implement very advanced pollution suppressing technologies because people in China are tired of choking. Again, I don't want to demonize China or any other government, but I just want to say that this needs to be our conversation. When we go to the water cooler at the office, it needs to be our conversation. When we go to Starbucks, it needs to be our conversation. When we go to church, it needs to be our conversation. Wherever we meet with other humans, we need to incorporate in our conversation this conversation about the fact that there is this all-pervading field of energy, which can be tapped into. Sometimes it scares me how easy it can be.

When David and I introduced the Piezo resonance generator, in New York in 1989, we demonstrated 54 times more power coming out than went in. I interviewed until two o'clock in the morning. The people who organized that conference were furious with the fact that I had done that. I was just told by a dear friend of mine who lives in Texas, who was part of the organizing committee for that conference, that she was accosted by one of the senior people there right after the standing ovation happened. She said nobody told her this was going to happen.

I did interviews. John Willy said he was coming out of retirement because this was one of the most exciting things he had ever seen in his life. He did some ghost writing for Star Gate One for the TV show. I told him one of the things we called the first Piezo resonance generator was a zero-point module and I was sitting with him in his living room and he was watching SG-1 and you do not interfere with him when he's

“I have to say about China, and this is a positive thing, they are being forced by their own people to implement very advanced pollution suppressing technologies because people in China are tired of choking.”



watching Star Gate. All of a sudden one of the main characters, Colonel Jack, was talking about the zero-point module. I looked at him and said, “John?” And he said, ‘Now it’s going to become part of the parlance!’”

It was his wonderful and stealthy way of getting this into the English language. There are zero-point modules that do exist today. They are not extremely complicated. They are relatively simple things because the field is present, and the atomic structure of the device itself can be turned into a quantum pump, that’s one of the things that I like to call it. There’s so much going on right now. There’s so much exciting stuff.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: I have three things I need to ask you before we close. The first is, I want to understand the cosmology. We know that there have been literally thousands and thousands of very credible witnessing and filming of UFOs and all sorts of flying saucers all over the planet, which are clearly not running on fossil fuel. What I want to understand is, how can we see and know that and still act like some kind of technology is not available other than fossil fuel?

ADAM TROMBLY: This also goes back to the entrainment interview that we did just a few weeks ago. Why is it, for example in Arizona, when right after certain events happened in my life, this giant craft showed up over Arizona and was seen from above Prescott and all the way down through the border with Mexico?

C. AUSTIN FITTS: We did the documentary, “Phoenix Lights,” in “Let’s Go the Movies,” in the Solari Report. That’s one of my favorite....

ADAM TROMBLY: That’s right. Remember former Republican Governor Symington, who lied to the public and made a joke out of the whole thing? And then later on, he admitted it to Leslie, and she got him to tell the truth. And he said, “Yes, I knew the minute I saw this that it was not from this world.”

This is a huge taboo subject. I have family members who don’t want me to talk about it because they say as soon as you talk about UFOs you lose all kind of credibility. And there’s a reason for this. As soon as you don’t



go into cognitive dissonance, I believe that 9 times out of 10 — I don't have polls or statistics to prove this — but I've witnessed it with my own eyes. When people see one of these craft, which is obviously not using fossil fuel, it's not puttering around, there's no flame coming out, it has no smoke. It has no propellers, there's no jet engine, and it's just hovering weightlessly and totally silent. And it's a mile across sometimes.

Like the one in Arizona — thousands of people photographed it and videotaped it. And the Air Force sends up these flares while the thing is happening to distract people and create what we call “mask memory.” And they try and convince everybody in the world that what they were actually seeing was not a giant craft flying completely silently, but it was flares from an Air Force base outside of Phoenix. People are disempowered by this kind of hypnotic suggestion and then they react violently when somebody talks about UFOs.

I had an editor of a relatively progressive publication say something really nasty about me a couple of years ago in relationship to Jim George's book because I talk about UFOs. When George stayed with me in Maui, and he said I don't doubt you for a moment, I've worked with you for years, but he says, I've never actually seen one of these craft. And I said, well let's pray that you do. So, we prayed in our own way and later on that summer I get this very exciting call from him saying, “Adam, I'm in Canada and I just saw one. I have absolutely no doubt about it. And my companion saw it. He was just delighted like a little kid.”

I just had this happen at my house with someone I was sitting on the deck with. Millions of people have seen these things and they don't want to say anything because as soon as you say it people are going to treat you like a nutcase. The French government was going to acknowledge it publicly, but then they decided to release really mediocre stuff.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: The first question that comes to mind is: why can't we have this technology?

ADAM TROMBLY: Exactly! I would like to have something that runs like that! I know engineers who have worked on these programs, who have since



retired, and after 2003 were technically no longer under contract not to speak about it, but they still feel like their life is in danger every time they do.

Steven Greer has done quite a bit of good stuff with the Disclosure Project. It was not started by a whole group of people; aerospace engineers and military people. Danny Sheenan was very much involved in the organization of that. We have all kinds of credible witnesses: astronauts, generals, colonels, admirals, intelligence officers, and scientists, etc. On and on and on.

What's crazy is keeping this technology from the people. What's crazy is, continuing to use technologies which are killing us all, instead of using technologies which could heal this planet even at this late date, or go a long way towards making our future much more benign in the face of the great climate destruction we've created.

Here's another part of this, and it's really important. For example, last year we had giant tornados, which were not the first year we've had them, which took out the majority of Joplin, Missouri. And, we'll never know exactly how many people died there because the U.S. government has been lying about people killed at Katrina and all these other disasters, and anybody who lives in the neighborhood knows they are lying.

The corporate media continues to enforce it even though Anderson Cooper will go to Missouri and try to find out how many bodies were on that refrigerator, and the 18 wheeler trailers. They are not allowed to see how many bodies were in there. They were kept from seeing them. Just like the media was kept on seeing the bodies at the oil spills, which is still going on under a very deep level in the South Pacific canyon off-shore. It's never, ever really completely been stopped. Only the riser was stopped.

The fact is, here we have a relatively liked meteorologist on CNN, Chad Myers, and he's up there saying "I've never seen anything like this in my whole career." And then in response to a question asking if this is the result of climate change, he says, "Statistically there has been no increase



in tornado activity since 1950,” which is just not true. It really isn’t.

It’s only true if you look at the number of deaths that were caused by tornados before there was early warning. And it’s not even true then because they are not being honest about how many deaths there have been. We are in an emergency on this planet. In our lifetime, we did not have tornados that were a mile wide, that were happening week after week after week. We didn’t have those.

“It’s only true if you look at the number of deaths that were caused by tornados before there was early warning.”

C. AUSTIN FITTS: The questions have been pouring in. I now have eleven questions to ask you! And one of them says, “Stop interrupting Adam!” I don’t want to interrupt you but I want to get everyone’s questions answered.

We just had a wonderful presentation by Dr. Sam Milham on “Dirty Electricity,” and here’s a question: Electricity has been killing us since Edison’s cell towers, and the Smart Grid will probably finish us off. Any idea how to turn things around? Is it possible to use this technology to create electricity which is a lot safer for us physically than what we’re dealing with now?

ADAM TROMBLY: Absolutely. There is no doubt about that. There is absolutely no reason, and there never has been a reason, since Nikola Tesla first communicated electrical power through the air, there has never been a reason for the grid the way it is. In fact, we don’t even have to communicate electricity through the air because everyone can have their own unit. Not only can they have their own unit but because it’s not being transmitted, you have to remember that the power grid worldwide is like a giant antennae and the earth is not a stable planet electromagnetically. We are orbiting a magnetic variable sun.

Everybody who goes to college is told this is a main sequence star. There was a certain narrow definition of main sequence star that you can say



this is true about in terms if it's a hydrogen fusion one of the things that happens in the sun, but it's also a magnetic variable.

The reason I bring this up relative to dirty electricity is we get dirty electricity from our own sun. We get dirty electricity from our own planet. We get noisy, dirty electromagnetic energy because we live on a magnetically unstable planet orbiting a magnetically unstable star.

The reason I brought up the QRS when we were talking about entrainment is because this helps clean up our cells from the drag that is put on by dirty electromagnetic energy.

Yes, he's absolutely right, we are being killed—we are being killed everyday. There are all kinds of studies. Nancy and I and other colleagues have been going to conferences for 30 years or more about Leukemia being caused in children, especially when you get near high-tension power lines. The utility companies don't want this to be known but it happens to be true. There are European studies and Scandinavian studies that have clearly shown this relationship between dirty electricity, which I'm sure he discussed in your show.

Bottom line answer is yes. Electricity does not have to be dirty. Electricity does not have to be pathogenic. In fact, electricity can be wonderful. I have a great affection for electricity when properly done. Also I know that the utility grid is being used as a transmission carrier for the Ground wave transmission system in coordination with the Ground wave transmission system and there's all kinds of noise that is sometimes intentional noise and sometimes unintentional noise. These big spikes that sometimes come across and blow your computer out if you don't have it on UPS (uninterrupted power source). We always have computers on UPS's but it's hard to put us on UPS's!

There are things that we've used for years to help remediate these things in our own bodies and that's a whole huge thing that you could talk about for hours on that subject. The bottom line answer is yes, yes, yes, electricity can be generated in such a way that it is not pathogenic and it wouldn't necessarily be 60 Hz cycles, which happens to be a harmonic of



the fundamental metabolic rate of our own bodies, or even 50 Hz cycles. We can have electricity that operates much more benignly with more benign wave forms with much less dissipation, LED lights.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Now I've got to do the next 10 questions quickly.

When you and I were talking about entrainment technology, we were talking how we both used the Quantum Resonance System (QRS), and several subscribers got interested in it and one bought it and then read Dr. Milham's book and he very strongly recommends against any healing modalities that use electricity, but they then asked a question and I sent him information on the QRS and he came back and said I think this is very terrible. So I thought I would ask you. We certainly can't settle it all here, but I thought I would ask you to comment.

ADAM TROMBLY: With no disrespect for him whatsoever, I understand his trepidation about these things. The company that used to sell the QRS was in a conflict of interest from the beginning of his distributionship because they sold another device which we found to be not nearly as effective. There are a lot of so-called healing technologies out there that are just B.S. and are actually pathogenic and are dangerous. It's very, very hard to know.

I understand what his concerns are because there is a lot of abuse and so called new age stuff that's out there. We've studied this; I've studied this on myself. I never ask anybody else to be a guinea pig. If someone wants to join me after I've confirmed to myself that it's harmless and official. I've watched my own blood go from being sticky in one 8 minute session, and then looking at another sample of my blood and no longer having these phenomena called rouleau. In other words, there is now a good charge imparted to the red blood cells. Very benignly, the QRS in particular, (I want to emphasize I have nothing to do with this financially) uses such weak electromagnetic fields because it was really based on a discovery by a German scientist Rudolf Zinsser, that he discovered a certain kind of wave form that the water molecules really responds to preferentially at extremely low amplitudes.



So this, unlike so many electromagnetic therapeutic devices is an extremely low amplitude device, a very weak signal that just basically induces a mild charge. It doesn't create hyper-acidity; it doesn't do any of those negative things that can happen from less, well thought out devices. This has been used in Germany now for almost 30 years. I was at the first meeting between Rudolph Zinsser, the top oncologist in Germany at the time, Hans Nieper and a guy named Dr. Koenig, who is one of the two progenitors of the QRS. And I know for a fact, that I've seen dozens of people who have benefited greatly from this and find themselves to be regenerated. Even though his particular researcher may have had bad experiences with other devices, I would not recommend anything to anybody that I hadn't tested on myself for many years, and as you know I'm an anomaly. I've survived an impossible form of cancer, not only survived it but continue to thrive.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: It is certainly beneficial to me.

ADAM TROMBLY: I've had doctors come in and lay down on it and one guy woke up three hours later and said that's the best sleep he's had in 10 years. You know exactly what I'm talking about. These are things that have now been scientifically verified.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: I now have 10 minutes for nine questions. Are you ready? There was one question from someone who didn't understand what "Thrive" was? And then I have some questions about "Thrive." "Thrive" is the new documentary that is coming out on November 11th. The trailer is up on the blog, or if you go to www.thrivemovement.com. It's coming out in November streaming through the internet in about 5 different languages. The first part of the documentary really goes into the energy technology and Adam is one of the people interviewed.

One of the questions I got is from one of the members of the Solari team, what is that machine in front of Foster and Adam on the "Thrive" trailer?

ADAM TROMBLY: It's an animation, very nicely done special effect; it's not a technology. We're just talking about the toroidal field as a



phenomenon. I make a statement that there is enough energy in this space of this toroid, which of course was not there when we were filming, to power the world, and what I was referring to when I say that is this extraordinary discovery that we now know is true that there is just so much energy present in the space that we are residing in that it's hard to conceive of.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: We have a wonderful subscriber who posted two questions. What Adam is saying is fine, but we need more science information. How is zero -point harnessed, how can we help in this innovative esotericism? If we could understand the science we would be better educated in this field of energy and we could be more intelligent in our conversations around the water cooler.

ADAM TROMBLY: It's a very important question. It's interesting because we haven't really addressed the cosmology question altogether. I hope everybody can follow my answer here:

Over the last couple of decades we've gone through a profound revolution in our vision of cosmology. The field of cosmology which I've been involved in since I was a teenager was dominated by people who said before the Big Bang that there was no space. This is a ridiculous statement and the majority of cosmologists agree.

When I met with the Carnegie Institute astrophysicist, a couple of years ago, we sat down and talked. She knew a lot about a supernovae, which are consistently happening throughout time, we can look back in time billions of light years ago we can see when a supernovae spectrum changes over time. I said to her, doing what you do, that's got to be a sign in the universe that there's an all pervading field that's acting upon all physical phenomena. She said the presence of the Zero Point Influxuation Field might explain the anomalies we're seeing in our data because our date indicated the expansion of our universe is accelerating

“If we could understand the science we would be better educated in this field of energy and we could be more intelligent in our conversations around the water cooler.”



and the only way that can happen is if there is a field that is dominating more than gravity all of the universe that we are appearing in.

In fact, this other cosmologist claimed this term dark energy which I feel is a very unfortunate thing because it puts it in the Darth Vader realm, visually. No, this isn't the dark energy. In a question, somebody mentioned Buddhism. In the yogi tradition, we go through various alignments of our body and now 30 million plus Americans doing yoga on a regular basis, trying to integrate their physical body with the Pranamaya Kosha, or the energetic sheath.

This is a way that we can all relate to this, scientifically even. The bio-energetic field is another term that is used. We have this field around us, which some say is senior to the physical body. Like if you cut off your hand you will still feel your hand. We call it a ghost limb. But what you're actually feeling is the bio-energetic field of that hand. That feeling rides on the nervous system, it doesn't originate from it any more than Zero-Point Vacuum Fluctuation originates from mass.

There are different things that people can look up. Look up Zero-Point Technology and don't get diverted by people who are trying to sell you soap.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: I just read Tom Valone's book. Would his book on Zero-Point Energy help?

ADAM TROMBLY: Yes, it can be helpful. It's not like this isn't there. It's that in terms of the actual state of development it is being kept from the public. And people have been killed. When she talks about needing more of the science so she can be more empowered, you have to educate yourself. You can do that if you take the time and energy and you concentrate to do it. Educate yourself. Demand that the U.S. government allows this kind of technology to go forward in the civilian sector of our economy so that we can begin to displace the horrible parasitic technologies of the last century.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: When the "Thrive" website goes up there is going to be a ton of links.



ADAM TROMBLY: Yes, this is a very last moment kind of thing. People need to literally educate themselves. Get a good scientific dictionary. Do whatever you have to do.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Ok, so now we are really out of time. I have to thank you tremendously for joining us tonight. This has been absolutely wonderful and I can't thank you enough. Is there any last comment you want to make?

ADAM TROMBLY: I have such admiration for your honesty and integrity and I am grateful that we are able to have this time uninterrupted. I will thank the Divine for that. And I want to thank your listeners for their patience and their forbearance. There is no way, in this short period of time, that we could possibly cover all the aspects of this.

I would love to see the deserts blooming, and I would love to see our cities clean and safe for children. And that "The Popsicle Index" worldwide be based on an economy of Universal Abundance, instead of Universal Scarcity, where some have a lot and others don't. I'm not a communist, I don't believe in the old Marxist-Leninist-Maoist modality of existence because it's based on an old industrial model. I believe that we can enjoy Universal Abundance — all of us, together. This is our birthright, to enjoy the abundance of this rather awesome creation. I don't know if I will ever see that day in terms of this particular physical body. I just pray that as many of us as possible can live to participate in the process so that our children's children's children, will look back at this moment and say, "Thank God, we finally woke up!"

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Maybe so....

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.