



---

# The Solari Report

---

June 23, 2016

**Soft Revolutions**  
**with Wayne Madsen**



Catherine Austin Fitts



Wayne Madsen



# Soft Revolutions

June 23, 2016

---

**C. Austin Fitts:** Ladies and gentlemen, it is a real privilege to be able to introduce Wayne Madsen, who I've wanted to get on The Solari Report for the longest time. He is a Washington-based investigative journalist who publishes the *Wayne Madsen Report*, which I recommend. I've been a very satisfied subscriber, and whenever anything is going on that is complex and involves intelligence agencies, Washington, or just geopolitics globally, I always want to find out what Wayne thinks. He's got a unique, interesting take on things.

He has a deep background, both in the US military and intelligence community, having worked for the Navy, having worked for the NSA, and numerous other organizations that are connected. This is somebody who really has an inside beat with a very powerful intellect. He understands the complexity and the networks involved.

He has published numerous books. We are inspired to get him because of his two latest books, *Soros: Quantum of Chaos*, that involves the dovetail of the US intelligence and military community with Wall Street and the financial markets, which is our beat.

Anyway, as I said, he is somebody who I always want to know what he thinks about what is going on, and I can't think of anybody better to explain the concepts of soft power, soft weapons, and soft revolution, which is what we're going to talk about.

Wayne Madsen: Welcome to The Solari Report.



**Wayne Madsen:** Hi, good to be with you.

**C. Austin Fitts:** I was so delighted to see that you had published something on Soros. We have to start with you explaining the title, *Soros: Quantum of Chaos*.

I am someone who uses the James Bond movies to explain the phenomena going on, and maybe you could start off by explaining where the title ‘Quantum’ came from.

**Wayne Madsen:** Catherine, you’re right in using James Bond to explain a lot of these issues because many people may or may not be aware that every James Bond script, since the very first one, was vetted by British MI6. The 007 franchise is much more than an entertainment venue for movie producers; it has always reflected the current thinking of, not only the British establishment, especially the intelligence establishment, but also the monarchy.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** In the case of the title ‘Quantum’, the last three James Bond movies featured, as the evil person the entity, called Quantum. That’s interesting because the name of George Soros’ hedge fund, the one he had that he tried to short the British pound in 1992, was called the Quantum Fund. This is no coincidence; this is not a mistake.

Daniel Craig, as James Bond, has been featured in these movies where the evil entity that is seeking to take over the world is Quantum. In the last movie, *Spectre*, the head of Spectre outs himself to Bond, but he says, “All those things that you’ve experienced over the years – all the things that have happened in the world of the dastardly nature – has been caused by an organization that is even larger than Spectre, which is Quantum.”

This is where the idea explaining how Soros is involved in all these themed revolutions, is what Naomi Klein refers to as ‘Disaster Capitalism’. I thought it was a good way to sum up the nasty and evil deeds of George Soros. He’s much more than a Dr. Evil, because Dr. Evil is a satirical takeoff on Bond villains. Soros is real. He’s a really wicked man, and he’s a real danger to the entire planet.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Let’s step back and talk. What do we mean when we say ‘soft power’ or ‘soft weapons’ or ‘soft revolutions’ or ‘soft warfare’? Explain to us what this concept of ‘soft’ is.



**Wayne Madsen:** This all came out of the teachings and writings of a guy named Gene Sharp, who runs the Albert Einstein Institute in Boston. He has denied for years that he is linked to the CIA, but the CIA certainly uses his tactics.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** In the past, we know that when we talk about ‘hard power’ we saw that in the 1950’s and 1960’s and even into the 1970’s especially Latin America, and all over the world. That’s where governments were changed, tanks were rolled out into the streets, the local CIA stations would conspire with the military generals to overthrow the elected governments. That was an exercise in the hard power.

In the last several decades we’ve seen a change, especially since the fall of the Soviet Union, to ensure that these newly ‘independent countries’ were able to extricate themselves from their past. We saw Soros finance these revolutions that are basically street revolutions. They’re themed or they have a color designation.

That’s not to say that they don’t turn violent; certainly in the case of the last Ukrainian revolution against their democratically elected president, Yanukovich, it was called the Euromaidan revolution. We saw a lot of violence there and a lot of people getting killed, but the revolution started out as a mass street demonstration.

We’ve seen this happen in many countries. It’s happening right now in Venezuela, but that one unfortunately has also turned violent. It began with mass street demonstrations.

We saw it in Brazil with the ‘constitutional’ coup that ousted President Rousseff, and we’ve seen it in Asia. We’ve seen it in Myanmar or Burma. We saw the junta ousted because of popular revolution by the followers of Aung Sun Suu Kyi who, by the way, receives a lot of money from Soros’ Open Society Foundation. And we’ve seen it attempted in Russia and China. These are the two ultimate goals for the Soros practitioners of soft power revolutions. They eventually want to see the governments in Moscow and Beijing come under the jackboot – it may be a velvet jackboot if you are Soros looking at this – but, nevertheless, they want to bring these two countries under the heel of the ‘Western elites’.

**C. Austin Fitts:** How much of the soft tactics are made possible by satellites and the digital systems?



**Wayne Madsen:** Well, we do know that Soros, especially when we look at the so-called ‘Arab Spring movement’, relied heavily on Twitter and other social media like Facebook to organize demonstrations and communicate the means or the themes of the revolutions. It began with shopkeepers in Tunisia who burned themselves in protest. No pun intended, this spread like wildfire, not only through Tunisia, but also through neighboring countries.

We see what has happened as a result of that. The civil wars in Libya and Syria went from being soft power projection to being the old-fashioned military civil wars. They’ve been horrendous with the number of lives lost, and the MALA Muslim and migrant invasion of Europe, as a direct result of what happened in Libya and Syria, and the resurgence of the rebellions in Iraq.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** This was the effective use of social media. Now Hillary Clinton, as Secretary of State, advocated this. She had people on her staff who were Soros veterans who were working with the Soros non governmental organizations to bring these rebellions about. I would also note that her personal assistant and close friend, Huma Abedin, whose mother has links in Saudi Arabia’s Muslim Brotherhood, was one of the chief advocates for what occurred in Egypt.

We are aware that there was the counter coup against the Muslim Brotherhood government in Egypt, which has turned that country into a military dictatorship.

**C. Austin Fitts:** It’s very interesting. There was a chart that one of the money managers put out a month or two ago that I’ve used a lot in my presentation. It showed that the GNP of women globally, plus the GNP of the United States, is bigger than China and Asia. My perception is that playing the women’s card is a very important part of the soft revolution. A Clinton presidency is part of that.

We’ll talk about the Clinton Foundation in a second, but it’s all part of making this look fluffy.

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes, and if you see what is going on in Latin America right now with the United States, the Obama Administration pushing the Argentine Foreign Minister, Macari, to be the next Secretary General of the UN. She would be the first female Secretary General of the UN, and the ramification of that is she is the Foreign Minister for a fascist government who won in a very dubious election against the party of former President Cristina Kirchner.



Even amongst women leaders, we see this huge difference between the likes of Macari, who will be the future Secretary General of the UN, and is already undercutting her former boss. She used to work for Ban Ki-moon and now she's out there talking about how he hasn't done a great job as Secretary General. I imagine we'll probably hear Hillary say the same things about Obama if she replaces him.

The Anglo-America was the other one. We didn't see a huge difference between the Merkel's, the Macari's, Hillary Clinton's and the Rousseff's of Brazil and Kirchner in Argentina, who were certainly not playing along with the international global elites. Neither of these two ladies would ever have been invited, for example, to the Bilderberg meetings which are taking place right now in Dresden.

**C. Austin Fitts:** It's very interesting. The *Financial Times* just published an interview with James Baker. I just wanted to read you a couple of quotes:

“Hillary Clinton was never given anything to do. She was just there (at the State Department) to run for president,” says Baker.

“I won't get my panties in a wedge because of what I am hearing from the political candidates. What they say in the campaign and what they do once they are in the White House are not the same thing. I don't care who wins, whoever gets to the White House. Presidents can do a lot but they can only do so much through the system of checks and balances.”

Basically, what he's saying is that Clinton will do what we ask her to do.

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes. I don't often agree with people who have worked in the Bush Administration, but I have to agree with Baker. Even though I don't like agreeing with him, that commentary on the way the world is, rings true. Hasn't that always been the case? Even if Trump were to be elected, they always say that it is the office that makes the man. I'm dating myself here, but nowadays the office would make the person. The person doesn't make the presidency; the office of the presidency changes the person.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** That goes back to saying that the President isn't really in charge. How many times and how many fictional movies have we seen where somebody will be talking about the President and say, “You don't really think he's in charge, do you?”



Nixon actually said that in that bazaar episode during the anti-war demonstration where a bunch of anti-war demonstrators camped out at the Lincoln Memorial. He decided during the night to take his limo over with the Secret Service to talk to them. He was telling a couple of the protestors, “Well, it’s not that easy for me to pull out of Vietnam.”

One of the women protestors said, “You’re not really in charge, are you?”

He said, “Something like that.”

**C. Austin Fitts:** Let’s go to George Soros. We should presume some of the listeners aren’t really familiar with Soros and his operations. Maybe you could introduce George Soros – who he is and what he’s been up to for the last couple of decades.

**Wayne Madsen:** Back in the late 1990’s he was featured in a *60 Minutes* interview conducted by Steve Croft, who asked him about his past with his father at the end of World War II in his native Hungary. He said he and his father avoided being targeted by the Gestapo because they cooperated with the Gestapo.

One of the things they did was to round up Hungarian Jews for deportation to the camps. He and his father helped the Gestapo by gathering up their valuables to be turned into them.

In his interview, he was asked, “Do you have any regrets about doing that?”

With a straight face he looks into the camera and he says, “No.”

So this, I think, is the type of person he is. He is an individual who claims to be Jewish but has no regrets in cooperating with the Gestapo along with his father at the end of World War II. This is not a nice person we’re dealing with. I don’t care how much he claims he gives to charities. These are charities that he operates. This is not like helping feed the local homeless at the food kitchens; what he does is fund opposition political parties. He wanted to influence Human Rights Watch so he bought it for a donation of \$100 million.

I used to work with Human Rights Watch. I used to work with Amnesty International. They’re nothing like they used to be because they’re now under the heavy financial influence of George Soros.



They will point out the same countries that the CIA and State Department are targeting for disruption, but they won't point out issues in other countries – for example, the United States.

Soros seems to practice the old Hegelianism dialectic of funding both sides of an issue to create dissension. He has contributed money to Black Lives Matter and he's given money to more legitimate political organizations. It's not only to buy influence but also to really maintain his influence by having various groups pitted against one another. Obviously, this is the older Marxist Hegelian dialectic in action.

**C. Austin Fitts:** I want to tell you a couple of my personal Soros stories to give examples of how this works because I've watched it work, and it's stunning to me.

From 1995 to 2006, I was embroiled with sort-of an 'Enemy of the State' brouhaha with the Department of Justice and the US government. In the fall of 1997, they came in and it went to the spring of 1998. They seized our offices; it was illegal but the courts let them do it.

We had Sporkin as our judge, who was the former General Counsel of the CIA, who was a real piece of work. You can imagine how much fun it was dealing with Sporkin.

Anyway, Sporkin is overseeing this, and he let them seize our office. We put up with a very ugly process. It took us about a week to get control of the offices back. We were able to get our main servers back and hide them at the law firm. We were told by the Department of Justice staff, "You're not allowed to have your own servers. We have orders that you may not have a copy of any of the knowledge and information."

**Wayne Madsen:** Too bad the Justice wasn't as hard on Hillary Clinton for having her own servers.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right. We're in the middle of this thing and, a group of guys who I used to work with from Global Business Network, and who were very close to the CIA, showed up and suggested that, "We've discussed your situation," with the royal 'we', "and we think you should apply to George Soros for a grant, and continue to do your work as a not-for-profit."



Soros, at the time, was on the Executive Committee of the Council on Foreign Relations. It took me about five seconds to realize, “Oh, I’ve just had millions of dollars stolen from me; I’ve had all my equity stripped; and the people who stripped it are turning around and taking a small amount of what was just stolen to use to buy me back.”

They were buying me back with my own money.

**Wayne Madsen:** Right.

**C. Austin Fitts:** I looked at them and I said, “I don’t think so.”

**Wayne Madsen:** The actions of viper capitalism.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right. That’s when I figured that Soros or his syndicate was making a lot of money at mortgage fraud because of what was in my database, and I was making the data accessible about mortgages guaranteed by the US government, which turned out – at the time –many multiples of the houses existent in the country, which is a problem.

**Wayne Madsen:** Right.

**C. Austin Fitts:** The second thing was that I started to investigate. The mortgage fraud, Wayne, was laundering – I believe – a lot of the narcotics trafficking that the intelligence agencies were bringing in. This got caught up in the alliance story.

I started to research the narcotics trafficking in Arkansas and in South-Central LA and contiguous to the portfolios where there was big HUD and FHA mortgage fraud. I’m just following the trail of the money back into the narcotics trafficking.

When 9/11 happened, I sent an email saying, “This is a bunch of hooley.”

Al Giordano at *NarcoNews* said, “Can I publish it?”

I said, “Sure.”

It got a lot of attention. He came back and said, “I would like you to write an article on narcotics trafficking.”



**Wayne Madsen:** Right.

**C. Austin Fitts:** I looked at them and I said, “I don’t think so.”

**Wayne Madsen:** The actions of viper capitalism.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right. That’s when I figured that Soros or his syndicate was making a lot of money at mortgage fraud because of what was in my database, and I was making the data accessible about mortgages guaranteed by the US government, which turned out – at the time –many multiples of the houses existent in the country, which is a problem.

**Wayne Madsen:** Right.

**C. Austin Fitts:** The second thing was that I started to investigate. The mortgage fraud, Wayne, was laundering – I believe – a lot of the narcotics trafficking that the intelligence agencies were bringing in. This got caught up in the alliance story.

I started to research the narcotics trafficking in Arkansas and in South-Central LA and contiguous to the portfolios where there was big HUD and FHA mortgage fraud. I’m just following the trail of the money back into the narcotics trafficking.

When 9/11 happened, I sent an email saying, “This is a bunch of hooley.”

Al Giordano at *NarcoNews* said, “Can I publish it?”

I said, “Sure.”

It got a lot of attention. He came back and said, “I would like you to write an article on narcotics trafficking.”

**Wayne Madsen:** He was saying it was the same issue charged with wire fraud and mail fraud, back by George H.W. Bush, and had experienced a raid of their offices.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right. The LaRouche’s went through essentially what I went through.

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes, and so did the good Reverend Moon but only when the *Washington Times* started to go after underage children being trafficked through the White House. Old Reverend Moon found himself in prison when Bush was President. Then when Bush decided to get friendlier with Moon, and Moon invited him to speak at some of his functions, and it became all lovey-dovey again.



It's quite obvious how the Bush's operate.

**C. Austin Fitts:** The second time, I wrote back to everybody – with Al's permission – saying, "Look, you all keep Soros' money. Where I come from, I can't be legitimate if I take money from Soros. So I could never destroy my brand by taking money from Soros. Thank you very much." That was it for me and the War on Drugs community.

The third time, I published a paper in London about real solutions and how we could create vehicles to finance place-based equity as opposed to just corporate equity. I got a request from a group either in Tibet or Mongolia who was funded by Soros, asking me if they could translate it into their language and publish it, but they wanted to know if they would get the copyright.

I said, "No, I would continue to own the copyright; they would just have a license," and they disappeared. I never quite understood what that was about.

What the circumstances said to me was that Soros was making money in the markets. My guess is, he is making money with the help of inside information, and the people feeding him that information want him to put it in foundations that are used strategically to enhance the syndicates' control. That's what it looks like to me.

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes. Obviously he's got a very close relationship with the CIA. He's taken over from the CIA the functions and the effective control of Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty, which is now based in Prague. They work in close collaboration with some of his other operations like Central European University in Budapest.

What happened is Eastern Europe and Central Europe traded the Soviet Union and the Warsaw Pact domination for the domination now of George Soros.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** Case in point is Viktor Orban, the Prime Minister of Hungary, who has come out now, not only against NATO and the European Union and against open borders and mass migration, and he was originally one of Soros' acolytes. Now he's not only broken with Soros, but he accuses Soros of trying to overthrow his government in Hungary.



I just wonder if people know that they've been used, like Orban obviously knows he's been used. How many more cases will we have of the countries that are pathetically now under the domination; which I think is even more oppressive than what they experienced under the Soviet Union; even though there might not have been some semblance during the Cold War and they were not totally independent, their culture and their nationhood was not at stake like it is now as part of the European Union, and having to accept the percentages of migrants? The Baltic countries, for example, it could absolutely turn their culture around because they're such small countries with small populations.

I think when Soros said, "Europe can take in millions more refugees," this is what he has in mind; he wants to see the cultural destruction of Western civilization. Just yesterday there was an incident in the South of France where two Muslims beat up a French woman who was serving drinks in a bar because it was the first day of Ramadan. This is the type of situation that Soros thrives on because he funds groups that will go out and protest any groups in France who will protest against what these two Muslim guys did.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Is there any proof yet as to who is financing the travel costs and cell phones for the groups – for the refugees moving north?

**Wayne Madsen:** Well, they definitely have debit cards and cell phones. Whether it's from Soros I don't know, but we do know that a lot of the funding comes from the Erdogan government and groups in Turkey that are in bed with Erdogan.

I would note that just a few months ago Soros held a meeting of his operations in Istanbul, and Erdogan was present at this meeting of Open Society Foundations and other related groups and not once did George Soros criticize what Erdogan is doing in Turkey; which is basically destroying any semblance of democracy and secularism that country has ever had.

Now isn't it funny that Soros was very silent because obviously Soros and the CIA are, (who I feel one in the same), and the CIA and Brennan support these Jihadist governments in Ankara and Riyadh and then Doha. This is a part of the destabilization process of the Middle East and increasingly in Europe.

**C. Austin Fitts:** What is the goal of destroying Western civilization? I spent a lot of time in Europe last year, and I'll be there again in July. When you come into Italy and into the Netherlands, you just see the traditional cultures feeling very overwhelmed. What is the purpose of that?



**Wayne Madsen:** I think it's to prepare the world for this global government – a world without borders. It's a psychological thing going on just to prepare people. Of course, nationalism is something that Soros finds an anthem to his own goals. I would note that you would think that Soros, being a liberal, would have supported the Scottish Independence Referendum, because the Scottish National Party is a fairly liberal party. It's basically the old Scottish labor party. He did not. He supported the 'No' campaign for Scotland to remain within the United Kingdom, just like he's obviously supporting the 'No' campaign on the Brexit coming up on June 27<sup>th</sup>.

I would also note that his father, Tivadar Soros, was one of the prime movers and shakers behind Esperanto. This was the concept that the world would have one common language, and borrowed a little bit from the major languages. That, of course, didn't really go anywhere; it sort of went the way of the pet rock and the hula-hoop as far as fads went, but it was a serious thing back in the 1920's and 30's when Soros' father was really pushing for it.

When I mention Soros and his father both helping the Gestapo in Hungary, Soros' father was well-known at that time as the guy who was pushing Esperanto. By the way, Hitler was totally opposed to Esperanto as a program. He didn't like that idea whatsoever, but the Gestapo didn't mind dealing with Tivadar Soros.

Their real name was Schwartz; they changed their name.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Soros was very much on the other side of Bush. He and the Bushes had a lot of hostility towards each other. Is that right?

**Wayne Madsen:** Yet Soros was one of the initial investors in George W. Bush's oil company which went bust. He was a million dollar investor. As a matter of fact, so was Salem Bin Laden, the older brother of Osama Bin Laden.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** Soros has been a mover and a shaker for quite some time, but there seems to be issues every once in a while where the elites have spats – sort-of like family spats – and quarrels behind the big marble door, and sometimes they come out in the open.



**C. Austin Fitts:** I wanted to bring up the Clinton Foundation and the Gates Foundation because I think part of the soft revolution is making invasions look like you're helping. We're seeing both the Clinton Foundation and the Gates Foundation equally do their own strategic control damage just like the Soros philanthropic interests.

**Wayne Madsen:** Right.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Would you say that it's all part of the same model?

**Wayne Madsen:** In some sense it is. One of the most egregious that the Clinton Foundation has been engaged in is the beleaguered country of Haiti. This is a nation that was poor anyway, and it's just a basket case, no thanks to anything done by the Clinton Foundation or Bill Clinton.

Haiti used to have a very vibrant rice industry and agribusiness. They were self-sufficient. They produced so much rice, as a matter of fact, that they were in a position to export it.

Clinton, who comes from a rice-producing state – Arkansas produces this worthless rice that you find in minute rice- it's bleached. It has no nutritional value. Clinton oversaw the destruction of the Haitian rice business.

This was all done through a US agency for international development, and a hefty dose of Soros money was involved with that, too. Haiti today is an economic basket case, especially after the earthquake, which they have never recovered from. You'll recall that Clinton and George W. Bush both went to Haiti to help raise money, and nothing has happened. People continue to be living in squalor. Some of Hillary's cronies are doing quite well in Haiti, but that is the elite who are paying substandard wages to these poor people there.

If I were running a legitimate campaign against Hillary Clinton, I would actually point this out. Haiti would be the example. She believes that she did a good job as Secretary of State? Well, let's forget what she did in Libya and Syria; let's just take a look at Haiti, which is much worse off now after Clinton was Secretary of State – and no thanks to her husband either who is as big a crook as she is. That's just one example where we saw the Clinton Foundation in action.

**C. Austin Fitts:** It's very interesting because I've made an effort to try to understand what's been going on in Haiti. I've just reviewed Dr. Cherry's book, *We Have Dared to Be Free*. She is going to be on The Solari Report in a couple of weeks.



If you look at the models of how they've busted Haiti; the black people in the US used to call it the beat down. If you look at how they've organized the beat down on Haiti, it's like what they're doing to the United States in a more subtle way. You use Federal regulations and import things to bust the small farmer. That creates a pool of urban labor that you can use in labor camps to do cheap offshoring. Then you strip mine the natural resources. In Haiti's case, it was a gold mine.

It's like what they're doing in the United States. It's interesting. In 1998, the Institute for Policy Studies in Washington brought in some women from Bolivia, Peru, and Columbia to lobby the Congress about the war on drugs and what was going on there. I was only an interested party, so I went to listen to their presentation before they went to Congress. They were being moved off their land and into cities and subsidized housing, so I started to ask them all these questions about how the money worked, who was making money on building the housing and who was picking up the land.

Finally the woman from Peru looked at me and she said, "Senora, you say you know nothing about our countries, but you know everything about our countries." She was looking very suspiciously at me.

I said, "I know nothing about your countries, but I was the Assistant Secretary of Housing, and apparently the model in your countries works exactly the way it works in this country."

**Wayne Madsen:** You're right about Haiti. The destruction of rice and other agriculture forced people to move from rural areas into Port-Au-Prince and into the slums, so when the earthquake struck you had more people living in the cities than the buildings could actually hold. That's why the death toll was so great. It was a direct result of the destruction of the rural way of life and the influx of people into the cities. Of course, they were prime candidates for working in the US-owned textile mills for next to nothing, including child labor.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** I may be one of the few journalists who will report this. I was in Ferguson, Missouri, right before the shooting and the rioting and demonstrations there because I was actually on my way back – by car – from the Eisenhower and Truman libraries where I was doing some research. I just happened to have stopped in Ferguson and stayed there overnight.



I noticed that the town was blighted, and the reason is St. Louis, like every other major city, the major employers that had employed people in that area had gone out of business. The jobs had been sent overseas. The only major employer for Ferguson was the St. Louis International Airport, which is right next to Ferguson.

This is a town that exists based on a single employer – the airport, which is all service-related – and the jobs don't pay very much.

**C. Austin Fitts:** My squabble long ago with the Federal government was that I was creating vehicles that would significantly lower the cost of capital to small business because so much was being done from a regulatory basis to increase the costs of capital and shut off the flow of equity capital. That was a part of the fight because the effort to shut down access to capital by small business has been extraordinary. That's part of what is devastating communities like Ferguson.

When you think about it, modern technology is very decentralizing. It should help the small guy much more than the big guy, but it hasn't turned out that way.

**Wayne Madsen:** No.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Let's talk a little bit about some extraordinary whistleblowers on the digital technology and how that is compromising sovereignty; whether its individual sovereignty or national sovereignty in a variety of ways. I'm referring to Drake, Snowden and WikiLeaks.

How does what's going on with Soros relate to those whistleblowers?

**Wayne Madsen:** I haven't detected any links between Soros and Snowden, or Drake. I know Drake. I was fully aware of his trials and tribulations long before he got in trouble with the FBI, but WikiLeaks is an interesting one. While I never thought the disclosure the State Department cables amounted to much, I think the disclosures of what Hillary Clinton was sending on her private server was much worse for national security because, in essence, what the State Department was doing, was over classifying information that was based on translations of local newspapers in other countries. It was the content of cocktail party conversations and diplomatic receptions. This should have never even been confidential, but a lot of it was done in secret.

I got into a big argument over it at the Arab Media Forum where I was invited to speak as one of the American journalists. I got into an argument with the editor of Le Monde. They had reached an agreement with Julian Assange and published some of these documents. Of course, she was saying, "We think it was very important disclosures."



I said, “Yes, if you’re into cocktail party gossip, I guess, but it really had nothing to do with national security.”

I noticed all the Arabs in the audience were nodding in agreement with me and disagreeing with the editor of *Le Monde*.

Let’s take another case where WikiLeaks disclosed all these confidential documents from the Bank, Julius Baer, which is a very old private bank in Switzerland. The bank got into so many problems as a result of disclosures that it became subject to a hostile takeover bid by, whom?, Mr. Soros.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Oh.

**Wayne Madsen:** Here’s an example, I believe, of a WikiLeaks leak done to damage the reputation of a very old bank in Switzerland, Julius Baer, and, unfortunately, their Chief Executive Officer was one of the many Swiss bankers recently who committed suicide.

Anyway, that is only one example of the insidious nature of Soros being a benefactor to groups like WikiLeaks.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right. Now Russia seems to have withstood the soft revolution. So far financial sanctions, if anything, have boomeranged. Russia appears to be an example of someone who is standing up to this, and they are clearly working to make their digital systems independent of ours for obvious reasons.

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes. I think Soros, Hillary and Obama need to take a look at history. Whether you get mired down in the Russian steppes during a winter in an invasion like Charles XII and Napoleon and Hitler tried to do, or whether you try to do it in a more nuance manner, you’re going to chafe the ultimate backbone of the Russian bear, and Russia will not go down easy, as you say.

There are a lot of opposition inside of the European Union to these continued sanctions.

Crimea was a part of Russia, and it was Nikita Khrushchev in 1954 that gave it to the Ukraine, as if it was a gift and his to give away. So what we’re supposed to now accept is the fact that a map change conducted by this leader of the Soviet Union is expected to be recognized as international law.



It's funny that all these neocons who were at the forefront of the Cold War against the Soviet Union now want to accept the fait accompli conducted by the Soviet Union; but that's just the nature of the neocons. They're true political chameleons, and, at heart, are what their parents and grandparents were – Trotskyites.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right. Now China also appears to be resistant, which is remarkable given that China has such a challenge keeping their population employed under the current circumstances. How is China doing this?

**Wayne Madsen:** Well, we saw Soros try to take advantage of China by starting up some demonstrations. It was called the Umbrella Revolution in Hong Kong, which because of the different system of government, – which China recognizes – is a potential soft spot for China. We saw China nip that one in the bud. We don't hear much now about the Umbrella Revolution in Hong Kong. China does now exercise a lot of quiet pressure in Hong Kong, not to go too far, but with the new President of Taiwan, who is a member of the party that favors independence for Taiwan – which the Chinese won't accept under any circumstance –Soros is now getting involved in Taiwan.

Soros has been involved in Tibet with the Tibetan government in exile. Even after Soros dies, his children will carry it on. Andrea Colombel is running the Tibetan operation, and his son will continue on with this. Of course, Soros – if he is like Kissinger and David Rockefeller – will probably live until he's 103 or 104, and we may be dealing with him for a longer time than most people in business.

But China, from what I understand – and I talk to the Chinese so much that it's gotten me visits from the FBI because they must think that I'm giving away state secrets, like I have any – is onto him. They are keeping a very close eye on these groups and NGOs.

Putin and Russia are forcing many NGOs to register. If they don't register with the government, get out. He's thrown a lot of Soros' operations out of Russia, and I think the Chinese won't let it get to that. They're just not going to let them in.

**C. Austin Fitts:** One of my concerns in watching this, particularly in Hong Kong, is that entrainment technology and subliminal programming, working through the smart phones and the social media, is very much a part of what is happening.

**Wayne Madsen:** Right. Although there is a physical line of demarcation between mainland China and Hong Kong, you can't just go back and forth without going through some customs and immigration control that doesn't affect social media, which doesn't recognize any borders at all.



**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** One of the odd things about Hong Kong is that it is so expensive to live in now. A lot of people who work in Hong Kong or are expat's – and there are many of them in Hong Kong – have now moved to mainland China, and commute back and forth because it's a lot less expensive.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Really?

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes, and with their daily commute they bring all their social media and whatnot with them. The line of demarcation – thanks to the expats between Hong Kong and the surrounding Wan Chai region, Guangzhou– is getting blurrier than in the past.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right. One of the comments you made in the Soros book is that the ultimate goal of the G7 and the Soros alliance is the division of Russia, Indonesia, and China into smaller nations. Maybe you could talk about that a little bit?

**Wayne Madsen:** Although Soros is not in favor of secession, that is where it would affect his interest. He doesn't like, for example, the idea of Scotland breaking away or Britain breaking the EU or Catalonia leaving Spain, but that's not to say that he doesn't look at secession as a means to extend control eventually over countries like Russia, China, and Indonesia, which are vast countries.

Many people think China, for example, is a homogenous country; it's not. It has numerous ethnic groups. A large number, of course, speak Han Chinese, but they also speak their own languages. So this is right for Soros because, one of the things that you see with Soros in Russia, is that he funds many of these groups in the caucuses that are, not only Jihadists, but also are favoring independence – whether it's Chechnya or about 20 other groups that are in that region that would like to break away from Russia.

You multiply that across eleven time zones, and you've got potentially Russia – if it were to go the way of Yugoslavia, which Soros wanted it to do also – could have 80-85 countries from it alone. China could potentially have 12. Indonesia, because you're dealing with well over 1,000 islands, could also be quite a mess there.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Presumably if you can get the Western world to continue to centralize control and then fracture the emerging markets, it makes it easier for the West to dominate.



**Wayne Madsen:** That's true, and we saw that with the CIA and Soros in Latin America when they were confronted with Evo Morales in Brazil. What do they do? They start back the secessionist movements in Bolivia, particularly in three states. With Correa in Ecuador, we saw a secessionist movement start up on the coast in the port city of Guayaquil. In Venezuela, it was with the oil rich state of Zulia. When they were dealing with Chavez, they tried secessionist movements there. So this is obviously a tactic that has been used in the past, but it's not to say that these minority groups don't have rights and grievances; they certainly do. What was going on in Bolivia, for example, is the states where secessionists were most active and where the white Europeans were in control.

Here we have white Europeans saying, "We're aggrieved," when they've been subjugating the indigenous population of Bolivia for centuries, and now all of a sudden, we've got white Europeans claiming their rights are being violated and the US is supporting it. Oddly enough, Obama continued supporting a white secessionist movement in Bolivia – believe it or not – against the native Bolivian President, Evo Morales.

**C. Austin Fitts:** We've seen the same kind of divide and conquer politics and financing here in the US. My question is as follows: Is it the goal to break up the US as well?

**Wayne Madsen:** I don't know whether or not that would happen geographically, as we see with these other countries or with our social schisms, but definitely, I saw that during the Occupy Wall Street movement. There was an absolutely intent – and I witnessed this personally, having covered the Occupy Wall Street – by Soros' people to take over the Occupy movement and to do in Washington, DC, at the Occupy encampment on Pennsylvania Avenue.

The campers or "Occupiers" were getting water donated to them by local restaurants who agreed with them to some extent. All of a sudden somebody from the Soros-run organization – and it had to be a labor union with a Soros influence – said, "We'll give you all the bottled water you want if you just go over to Capitol Hill and support this," and which was some initiative Obama had on jobs or something. They wanted the Occupy people to just transplant themselves to get some numbers for the cameras to support an Obama initiative. It was a very, very cynical move by Soros.

I have to say that they put it up to a vote, and not only did they vote 'no', but they asked the person who came over to leave.

**C. Austin Fitts:** You do get the sense that the body of politics is getting smarter.



**Wayne Madsen:** Yes, but for every example like that, how many of the Soros people weren't successful and cooperative – for example, in Occupy Wall Street or Black Lives Matter?

I see it on the other side of the spectrum, people like Rupert Murdoch doing the same thing with the Tea Party movement and the right wing.

**C. Austin Fitts:** It's really funny. When I was Assistant Secretary of Housing, I was good friends with a guy who ran the property disposition for the Resolution Trust Corporation. We went through a period where the low-income activists were just screaming bloody murder about what was happening at the Resolution Trust Corporation. Then all of a sudden, the headlines stopped. It just went silent.

I saw the guy at a meeting about a month later. I said, "Hey, what happened? All the activists suddenly went silent. What happened?"

He said, "No problem. I slapped out a couple of TA contracts to them. They'll shut up for \$25,000."

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes, every person has their price, right?

**C. Austin Fitts:** Well, no. Not every person has their price.

**Wayne Madsen:** That's true.

**C. Austin Fitts:** It is amazing with the war on drugs thing. I was surprised, not that they sold out, but that they sold out for so little. That is always what astonished me.

**Wayne Madsen:** That was always the case with the KGB. They were surprised at how little people would sell out for.

Defectors from any country are problematic. I remember talking to retired KGB colonels who were still getting a pension. They were professionals to the very end – even to the end of the KGB as we knew it. They said two things, "Anybody who ever tried to come to us and say that they were Marxist or American or communist and we agree with the Soviet Union," we would say, "Watch out! This guy's a mental patient. Why would they be saying this?"



Then they would sell classified material for so little; the money was almost nothing. One guy from the CIA sold a manual for one of the spy satellites for \$25,000. They couldn't believe it that he received so little money. They said, "The guy could have asked for more, and we would have paid him," but he didn't want much more than that.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Americans have never been able to price their equity. What can I tell you?

Let's turn to the election because, certainly the election as a form of entertainment, has been much more entertaining than we would have believed. What is Soros doing viz-à-vis the current Presidential campaign?

**Wayne Madsen:** Well, he's obviously supporting Hillary Clinton. He has always been close to the Clintons, and this election is no different. But then again, it's not just that she just has to rely on Soros, she has so many other deep pockets that she can rely on in this election.

The issue now is trying to get President Clinton control over the Congress. With Trump threatening the down ballot races for Senate and House and to the governors and other races, I think Soros is probably doubling his efforts to make sure that happens.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** He wants to make sure that the Republicans are really rerouted in November.

**C. Austin Fitts:** One of my theories has always been that Trump was being used to write out the Republicans so that Clinton would really win and get control. The question is as follows: How do you engineer someone like Clinton into the Presidency with such high negatives? You've got to come up with a Republican whose negatives beat hers.

**Wayne Madsen:** You have to come up with a Republican who is absolutely awful, and that is how it would be done. There are those who have the same theory. I believe there are a lot of Republicans right now who are in elected office who believe that Trump may have been a Trojan horse all along to ease Hillary into the White House.



**C. Austin Fitts:** Who is supporting Trump behind the scenes? There's no way that Trump could get this far without some support. It just may be the ones who want to see Clinton in the White House, but what do you know about where Donald Trump's support is coming from?

**Wayne Madsen:** I've covered one Trump rally, and I was reminded of the Ross Perot campaign. Certainly the candidates are not the same. Ross Perot, for all of his quirks and whatnot, I think really had the interests of the United States in mind and the future of the country. A lot of what he said in 1992 came about.

He said there would be a sucking sound of jobs to Mexico; it happened. He was against NAFTA. Trump says he's against free trade, and then he changes his mind. Ross Perot never changed his mind. When he ran again in 1996, he was the same candidate.

Watching the people; obviously some are the same people – they're just older now –even the younger people are like the people back in 1992. They were frustrated. Bush was lying to them, "Read my lips. No new taxes." They didn't trust Clinton because he was already known as 'Slick Willy' in Arkansas. They were very frustrated with the system.

We had a downturn in the economy, and they wanted change. I always said Ross Perot was the right message, but probably not the right messenger just because he didn't come across that well. I think he was well intentioned, and I don't think he was a bad man. He is still alive and I don't think he is a bad man. Trump on the other hand - people are no less frustrated, maybe even more so – and they look to him.

Can you imagine, for example, he just doesn't exude any warm and fuzzy feelings? Ross Perot – for all I say –was kind of a quirky guy, but you could talk to the guy. Trump, on the other hand, can you imagine that if he were President, and one of the jobs of the President was 'Consoler in Chief'? Even Obama, who is not a people person, has done that. Nixon did that, and he wasn't a people person either.

After the tornados, the hurricanes and earthquakes, can you imagine Trump being the 'Consoler in Chief'? I can't. I can't see anybody sitting on the remains of what was once their mobile home going over and having him consoling them.

**C. Austin Fitts:** I completely agree with you, but how in the world could we ever think of Clinton as a 'Consoler in Chief'?



**Wayne Madsen:** She will have her husband do a lot of that. He was always good at that. She will do it, but I think it will be with him in tow.

He even said, “This is a two-for-one deal. If you like me, you get her, too.” Nothing has changed. That’s not a marriage; that’s a corporation. That’s a business arrangement. It always has been. Otherwise, they wouldn’t have survived both of their extramarital affairs. It’s like Sears & Roebuck; even they fell apart after a while, but that’s what it is. You get one and you get the other.

I just don’t see Trump exuding any warmth from people who have lost everything. The first thing he would say is, “You want to invest in one of my operations with what little savings you have left? In theory, you’ll make a lot of money.”

**C. Austin Fitts:** I’m just assuming that what happens is, once they have Clinton get the nomination and Trump gets the nomination, they just arrange for Trump to lose support a little bit every week into the election – just enough to keep it entertaining.

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes. Right now they’re talking about ‘rebellion’ in Cleveland at the convention. I just don’t think it’s going to happen. I think we’re going to see an election where the Republican Party is going to stand behind its Senate, House, and other candidates, and they’re going to act as if Trump is not on the ticket.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Can they preserve the House and Senate under those circumstances?

**Wayne Madsen:** I’m not certain. It’s going to be difficult. I think they could lose the Senate definitely in this situation. They have enough of a majority in the House. They might be able to eke out a majority there but, here again, we have the Speaker of the House who doesn’t even want the job. We had the former Speaker going to jail for being a pedophile. The House doesn’t seem to have a lot of respect right now either.

They always said, “This is the year we’re going to throw the bums out,” and, in the end, they vote for their own bum. They say, “Well, he or she may be a member of Congress, but I’m not throwing this person out,” but this may be the year where we see it, and it could be an incumbency election like we’ve not seen since 1974 in reaction to Watergate.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Let me ask you a question because I just did a review of *TrafficKing*, Conchita Sarnoff’s book on the pedophilia activities of Epstein who Bill Clinton has been very close to.



**Wayne Madsen:** Unfortunately, Trump has, too.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Trump was close to Epstein, too?

**Wayne Madsen:** Oh, yes. His name is in the Epstein flight manifests. Of course, Epstein was at his Mar-a-Lago club in West Palm Beach, and Trump has been in his estate in West Palm Beach. When you've got that kind of money, these people have a tendency to hang around a lot together.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right. One of the things I've been very intrigued about is we've seen Trump with part of his tactic being willing to bring up issues that are very near and dear to a lot of Americans, like, "Let's get rid of common core," or getting rid of heavy vaccination schedules with problems that may be connected to autism and saying things that are outside of the politically correct spectrum.

The Clintons have an enormous amount of dirt on them, and I'm sure Trump does too. What are the chances that this election starts to really get into transparency about the bad habits of the American establishment?

**Wayne Madsen:** To use a Cold War phrase, it's a 'mutually-assured destruction'.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** I do think they have the goods on one another. I'm already hearing that the Clinton people are prepared to look into, not only Trump's real estate deals – and, of course, they are the last people in the world to be talking about failed real estate deals with Whitewater – but some personal information coming out, especially on Trump in the 1980's and the Manhattan scene and Studio 54, which was a known drug place.

Trump says he never used alcohol or drugs. Well, I don't know whether you could have even gone into Studio 54 without being exposed to drugs. It was that kind of place, and he was there quite a bit.

There's this new information about the relationship between Donald Trump and Roy Cohn. There's a blast from the past! Roy Cohn did a lot of work for Donald Trump in his real estate ventures, and Roy Cohn – when you're talking about dirty tricks – was the counsel of Joseph McCarthy and became quite a notorious gay guy in Manhattan who did not hesitate to use blackmail to expose other gay people in those days.



If we get down and dirty with Huma Abedin and Hillary Clinton, I think the retaliation will be Roy Cohn and Donald Trump. The Trump people are going after Huma Abedin.

When I was in Washington, the word at the State Department was that if you were a reporter covering the state, “Do not bring up or do not ask anything about H&H,” with H&H being Hillary and Huma. Huma, of course, is married to the disgraced former Congressman Anthony Weiner who hoped to become Mayor of New York but was caught sending inappropriate photographs of himself out on social media.

Yes, this is going to be a very nasty campaign, and I think it is going to get really dirty. If you have children, you will hope they will watch HBO and turn off the news.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** I think it’s going to get that bad.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Oh Lord. Well, it’s all part of the soft revolution of destroying Western culture, which we won’t let them do.

**Wayne Madsen:** Right.

**C. Austin Fitts:** I agree; turn off the news. But what they can do is can turn on the *Wayne Madsen Report*. As we close, Wayne, please tell us a little bit about what you think we can do, including how we can find your website, your books, and how we can subscribe, etc. I know that whatever is going on in the campaign, you’re going to have the beat.

**Wayne Madsen:** I’m supposed to cover the two conventions. I’ve covered the conventions in the past, and I go wherever the pack journalists don’t go.

In Philadelphia, by the way, in 2000 at the Republican convention, I was interviewing people who were not there with what they call the ‘press gaggle’. I ran into the veteran, Jack Germond, at one of these offbeat things I was covering.

I try to do that on my own website. I try to pattern it after the muckraking of Jack Anderson and, Drew Pierson before him, and guys like Jack Germond. He had a column for a long, long time in the *Baltimore Sun*.



The website is [www.WayneMadsenReport.com](http://www.WayneMadsenReport.com). It's got a 'pay side'; a 'subscriber side' that keeps me going because I don't do advertising so I'm not influenced by people selling stuff. Then there's a public side where people can read daily my pithy comments, which some people – especially in California – say they can't have their first cup of coffee in the morning without reading the pithy comments on my take.

**C. Austin Fitts:** I never come to your website where I don't laugh. Sometimes I'm laughing so I don't cry, but I'm always laughing.

**Wayne Madsen:** Sometimes I think I'm channeling Andy Rooney with some of my comments on the news.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Andy Rooney on the real deal.

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes. If they ever need a replacement for him, I'm at the age now where I can actually qualify as a curmudgeon. With my take on things, I probably won't be saying, "Why do people keep all this stuff in their handbags and their desk drawer?" My commentary would be a little more cutting and realistic, but that's why I would never be on a program like that.

Anyway, I try to do that on my website. I've got another book coming out. It's my summer project. It's going to be a directory of CIA front companies, proprietaries, contractors, and partners since the inception of the CIA in 1947.

**C. Austin Fitts:** That's going to be a long book.

**Wayne Madsen:** It has turned out to be a lot bigger task than I imagined because unless you sit down and start to collect them, you don't realize how many there have been over the years.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Right.

**Wayne Madsen:** It's like from one scandal to another. There's the JFK assassination with a bunch of front companies, the Bay of Pigs, the Guatemala in 1954, and then we get into Vietnam, Air America, and a constant stroll through American scandals, and the CIA – of course – played such an intricate role in all of the scandals. I've long since forgotten about some of them.

How could anyone forget about ITT in Chile and the names Harold, Geneen, and Dita Beard. They appeared on TV every night on the evening news. I'm trying to bring back some of those. It's kind of like a dictionary format.



**C. Austin Fitts:** If you could just mention some of your most recent books, including *Soros: Quantum of Chaos*.

**Wayne Madsen:** Another one is called *Tales from the CIA Crypt* – tales of assassinations over the several decades ascribed to the CIA. As a matter of fact, my story today is the one that I don't think is even in my book.

I do a lot of research at the national archives in the CIA files – those which are readable because a lot of them are totally redacted. There is some new stuff I got on Iran Contra and the Tower Commission. John Tower, who was supposed to be Bush's Secretary of Defense, but Bush pulled the rug out from under him. That was after Bush and Tower actually clashed over the contents of the Tower Report on the Iran Contra.

Tower knew full well that H.W. Bush was running that operation and said as much. The CIA and Bush were livid over his revelations in the Tower Report, and when he died on April 5<sup>th</sup>, 1991, along with his daughter in a commuter plane crash in Georgia, he was apparently writing a second book after his memoir where he was going to name names about Iran Contra. Nonetheless, he and his daughter were killed in the crash. Ironically or suspiciously enough, the day before, on April 4<sup>th</sup>, the one senator who supported him for Secretary of Defense was voted down. It was the first time a cabinet nominee had been voted down since 1959.

Senate intelligence committee member, John Heinz, died the day before Tower and his daughter died in a plane crash outside of Philadelphia where a helicopter crashed into his plane in a midair collision. The odds of that happening to two individuals who knew a great deal about Iran Contra and a lot about H.W. Bush's role in it, dying in back-to-back plane crashes.

**C. Austin Fitts:** I've always believed the Bushies took Heinz and Tower out.

**Wayne Madsen:** I do believe that, too. Just looking at some of the CIA files on that, they were absolutely afraid that was the conclusion people would arrive at, and they did this ever since the questions about the Warren Report. You counteract any questions by calling out the people who are making the questions conspiracy theorists. It's right there in the CIA document. We have to use this term as pejorative of conspiracy theorists, and it's been successful ever since.



**C. Austin Fitts:** That's why I propose we use 'unanswered questions'. On the 9/11 group we just said, "We don't know the answers, so we're just going to ask questions."

As soon as you propose a theory, you're a conspiracy theorist. Instead you keep asking questions.

**Wayne Madsen:** Right. Or if you just say 9/11 truth, that's turned into 'Truther'. All of this is planned in advance.

**C. Austin Fitts:** It's all part of the soft weapons.

**Wayne Madsen:** Yes, and they're very effective ones. I deal with that with friends of mine who are very well-known published journalists with the big New York publishers and what-not. Their patent response to any of that is, "Oh, that's a conspiracy theory." Well, I think they make a 'Deal with the Devil' in this case, that they will never entertain any conspiracy theories. In return, they will get the big advances to do their nice little niche books and so on. They cover the Civil War or Nixon. Nixon is the big thing now, and everybody is writing about Nixon. For a long time it was the Civil War, then it was World War II.

That's how they get bought off, as we were talking about people getting bought off. The only thing they have to do is say that they will always publicly admonish anyone who believes in what they consider to be a conspiracy theory.

**C. Austin Fitts:** Well, I don't know. The great thing about developing something like this Solari Report or your Wayne Madsen Report is all we need to do is make sure our subscribers have information to make sure that they're happy, healthy, and understand what's going on. We don't have to worry about any of these things.

I want to very much thank you for joining me on The Solari Report. This has been great. I'll keep you posted when we publish it. Keep up the great work.

If there's anything we can ever do at The Solari Report to help you or support you, just let us know.



**Wayne Madsen:** Okay. Thank you. It was nice to be with you today.

**C. Austin Fitts:** You have a great day.

**Wayne Madsen:** You, too.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.